

NBS
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS REPORT

10 117

DEC 15, 1969

**SIMULATION OF AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL RADAR
BEACON CODE ASSIGNMENT PLANS**

Final Report on Phase I

Sponsored by
Department of Transportation
Federal Aviation Administration
Inter-agency Agreement DOT-FA69WAI-162



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

The National Bureau of Standards¹ was established by an act of Congress March 3, 1901. Today, in addition to serving as the Nation's central measurement laboratory, the Bureau is a principal focal point in the Federal Government for assuring maximum application of the physical and engineering sciences to the advancement of technology in industry and commerce. To this end the Bureau conducts research and provides central national services in four broad program areas. These are: (1) basic measurements and standards, (2) materials measurements and standards, (3) technological measurements and standards, and (4) transfer of technology.

The Bureau comprises the Institute for Basic Standards, the Institute for Materials Research, the Institute for Applied Technology, the Center for Radiation Research, the Center for Computer Sciences and Technology, and the Office for Information Programs.

THE INSTITUTE FOR BASIC STANDARDS provides the central basis within the United States of a complete and consistent system of physical measurement; coordinates that system with measurement systems of other nations; and furnishes essential services leading to accurate and uniform physical measurements throughout the Nation's scientific community, industry, and commerce. The Institute consists of an Office of Measurement Services and the following technical divisions:

Applied Mathematics—Electricity—Metrology—Mechanics—Heat—Atomic and Molecular Physics—Radio Physics²—Radio Engineering²—Time and Frequency²—Astrophysics²—Cryogenics.²

THE INSTITUTE FOR MATERIALS RESEARCH conducts materials research leading to improved methods of measurement standards, and data on the properties of well-characterized materials needed by industry, commerce, educational institutions, and Government; develops, produces, and distributes standard reference materials; relates the physical and chemical properties of materials to their behavior and their interaction with their environments; and provides advisory and research services to other Government agencies. The Institute consists of an Office of Standard Reference Materials and the following divisions:

Analytical Chemistry—Polymers—Metallurgy—Inorganic Materials—Physical Chemistry.

THE INSTITUTE FOR APPLIED TECHNOLOGY provides technical services to promote the use of available technology and to facilitate technological innovation in industry and Government; cooperates with public and private organizations in the development of technological standards, and test methodologies; and provides advisory and research services for Federal, state, and local government agencies. The Institute consists of the following technical divisions and offices:

Engineering Standards—Weights and Measures—Invention and Innovation—Vehicle Systems Research—Product Evaluation—Building Research—Instrument Shops—Measurement Engineering—Electronic Technology—Technical Analysis.

THE CENTER FOR RADIATION RESEARCH engages in research, measurement, and application of radiation to the solution of Bureau mission problems and the problems of other agencies and institutions. The Center consists of the following divisions:

Reactor Radiation—Linac Radiation—Nuclear Radiation—Applied Radiation.

THE CENTER FOR COMPUTER SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY conducts research and provides technical services designed to aid Government agencies in the selection, acquisition, and effective use of automatic data processing equipment; and serves as the principal focus for the development of Federal standards for automatic data processing equipment, techniques, and computer languages. The Center consists of the following offices and divisions:

Information Processing Standards—Computer Information—Computer Services—Systems Development—Information Processing Technology.

THE OFFICE FOR INFORMATION PROGRAMS promotes optimum dissemination and accessibility of scientific information generated within NBS and other agencies of the Federal government; promotes the development of the National Standard Reference Data System and a system of information analysis centers dealing with the broader aspects of the National Measurement System, and provides appropriate services to ensure that the NBS staff has optimum accessibility to the scientific information of the world. The Office consists of the following organizational units:

Office of Standard Reference Data—Clearinghouse for Federal Scientific and Technical Information³—Office of Technical Information and Publications—Library—Office of Public Information—Office of International Relations.

¹ Headquarters and Laboratories at Gaithersburg, Maryland, unless otherwise noted; mailing address Washington, D.C. 20234.

² Located at Boulder, Colorado 80302.

³ Located at 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia 22151.

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS REPORT

NBS PROJECT

6505453

NBS REPORT

10 117

DEC 15 1969

SIMULATION OF AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL RADAR BEACON CODE ASSIGNMENT PLANS

Final Report on Phase I

by

R. D. Elbourn

Information Processing Technology Division
Center for Computer Sciences and Technology

and

Judith F. Gilsinn

Operations Research Section
Applied Mathematics Division

Sponsored by

Department of Transportation
Federal Aviation Administration
Inter-agency Agreement DOT-GA69WA1-162

IMPORTANT NOTICE

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS REPORTS are intended for use within the Government. This report, in whole or in part, is not authorized for reproduction, distribution, or use by other than the Government agency for which the Report has been specifically

Approved for public release by the
Director of the National Institute of
Standards and Technology (NIST)
on October 9, 2015.

is accounting documents intended
subjected to additional evaluation
listing of this Report, either in
Office of the Director, National
y the Government agency for which
copies for its own use.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS

Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Abstract	1
1. Introduction	2
2. Scope of Plan I	3
2.1 Center Assignment Plan	3
2.2 Traffic Routing	3
3. Choice of a Programming Language	5
4. The Traffic Sample	6
5. Results	7
5.1 First Code Plan: Issue Codes from the Top of a List, Return them to the Bottom	8
5.2 Second Code Plan: Issue from the Top of a List, Return to the Top	9
5.3 Third Code Plan: Issue by Random Selection	9
Figure 1, Route Map between the 21 Centers	4

Tables

	<u>Page</u>
1. Flights with Origin and Destination in the Same Center	14
2. Flights with Origin and Destination Airports the Same	14
3. Flights within One Center with Different Origin and Destination Airports	15
4. Number of Departures from Boston	16
5. Number of Arrivals at Boston	17
6. Number of Handovers to Boston	17
7. Number of Departures from New York	18
8. Number of Arrivals at New York	19
9. Number of Handovers to New York	19
10. Number of Departures from Washington	20
11. Number of Arrivals at Washington	21
12. Number of Handovers to Washington	21
13. Number of Departures from Jacksonville	22
14. Number of Arrivals at Jacksonville	23
15. Number of Handovers to Jacksonville	23
16. Number of Departures from Miami	24
17. Number of Arrivals at Miami	25
18. Number of Handovers to Miami	25
19. Number of Departures from Cleveland	26
20. Number of Arrivals at Cleveland	27
21. Number of Handovers to Cleveland	27
22. Number of Departures from Atlanta	28
23. Number of Arrivals at Atlanta	29
24. Number of Handovers to Atlanta	29
25. Number of Departures from Indianapolis	30
26. Number of Arrivals at Indianapolis	31
27. Number of Handovers to Indianapolis	31
28. Number of Departures from Chicago	32
29. Number of Arrivals at Chicago	33

Tables

	<u>Page</u>
30. Number of Handovers to Chicago	33
31. Number of Departures from Memphis	34
32. Number of Arrivals at Memphis	35
33. Number of Handovers to Memphis	35
34. Number of Departures from Houston	36
35. Number of Arrivals at Houston	37
36. Number of Handovers to Houston	37
37. Number of Departures from Minneapolis	38
38. Number of Arrivals at Minneapolis	39
39. Number of Handovers to Minneapolis	39
40. Number of Departures from Kansas City	40
41. Number of Arrivals at Kansas City	41
42. Number of Handovers to Kansas City	41
43. Number of Departures from Forth Worth	42
44. Number of Arrivals at Fort Worth	43
45. Number of Handovers to Fort Worth	43
46. Number of Departures from Great Falls	44
47. Number of Arrivals at Great Falls	45
48. Number of Handovers to Great Falls	45
49. Number of Departures from Denver	46
50. Number of Arrivals at Denver	47
51. Number of Handovers to Denver	47
52. Number of Departures from Albuquerque	48
53. Number of Arrivals at Albuquerque	49
54. Number of Handovers to Albuquerque	49
55. Number of Departures from Salt Lake City	50
56. Number of Arrivals at Salt Lake City	51
57. Number of Handovers to Salt Lake City	51
58. Number of Departures from Seattle	52
59. Number of Arrivals at Seattle	53
60. Number of Handovers to Seattle	53

Tables

	<u>Page</u>
61. Number of Departures from Oakland	54
62. Number of Arrivals at Oakland	55
63. Number of Handovers to Oakland	55
64. Number of Departures from Los Angeles	56
65. Number of Arrivals at Los Angeles	57
66. Number of Handovers to Los Angeles	57
67. Number of Aircraft in Flight in each Center on the Hour	58
68. Maximum Number of Aircraft in Flight at any Time during each Hour	59
69. First Code Plan: Issue from Top, Return to Bottom of 800-Code List	60
70. Second Code Plan: Issue from Top, Return to Top of 800-Code List	63
71. Third Code Plan: Random Assignment--800 Codes.	66

Simulation of Air Traffic Control Radar
Beacon Code Assignment Plans
Final Report on Phase I

R.D. Elbourn and J.F. Gilsinn

Abstract

A peak day's IFR traffic, 25,646 flights, in the USA was simulated with the 21 Air Route Traffic Control Centers issuing codes independently according to three rules: (1) issue codes from the top of a list of the codes not in use and return them to the bottom, (2) issue codes from the top of the list and return them to the top, and (3) issue codes by random selection from the codes not in use. With the same 800 codes available in each center, these rules required in-flight code changes at, respectively, 16.8, 58, and 13 percent of the handovers to other centers.

1. Introduction

The Air Traffic Control Radar Beacon System (ATCRBS) with 4096 discrete-code capability is expected to transmit identity and altitude of aircraft to equipment that will generate an alphanumeric display of this information updating it through automatic tracking. Because it is planned to install such automatic data processing systems in most large and medium enroute and terminal ATC facilities by 1973, there is some urgency to developing an effective and efficient plan for assigning radar beacon (identifying) codes. At the request of the Federal Aviation Administration, the National Bureau of Standards has undertaken to test and compare code assignment plans by simulating their operation on a digital computer.

Each test is a simulation of the IFR traffic within the contiguous 48 states during a peak day. The criteria of goodness are:

1. The elimination of duplicate codes wherever they might confuse either the tracking system or a controller watching an alphanumeric display. 1/
2. The efficient use of the available codes. This encourages duplicating codes at places or times that are sufficiently separated so that no confusion can result.
3. Minimization of the frequency with which in-flight code changes are required.

In the interest of developing some experience and preliminary results before attempting to deal more fully with the details of air traffic movements and procedures, the first phase of the study was limited to the so-called Center Assignment Plan and employed a highly simplified pattern of air traffic flow. The present report describes the results of that first phase.

1/ Exactly when or where duplication is tolerable or intolerable depends upon details of the future computer-aided system of air traffic control that are not yet known, but considerations of the code assignment problem may affect design of the future ATC system, so the simplest reasonable-looking assumptions were made to get the study underway.

2. Scope of Phase I

2.1 Center Assignment Plan

Each of the 21 Air Route Traffic Control Centers (ARTCC's) has available the complete complement of radar beacon codes. Each center keeps account of which codes are in use within its control area and issues each originating flight an unused code at departure. A flight coming in from outside the center's control area is permitted to retain its code only if that code is not in use in this area. Otherwise, at handover its code is changed to an unused one. Thus each center prevents duplication of codes within its own area, but use of the same code in different control areas is freely permitted. There is no communication between centers to reserve a code for a future flight.

Three variations in code assignment rules have been simulated:

1. Each center keeps a list of available (unused) codes. It issues codes from the top of the list and returns codes to the bottom. When an incoming flight is permitted to retain its code, that code must be removed from the available list.
2. Same as in 1 except that codes are returned to the top rather than to the bottom of the list.
3. Codes are issued by a random selection from those available.

2.2. Traffic Routing

In Phase II of this study, aircraft will be assumed to fly straight paths from their airport of origin to their airport of destination. Code usage changes only at departure, handovers, and arrival. If the times of these events were known, the geographic location of the aircraft would be of no concern to this simulation. But the time of a handover is determined only after one finds the place where the flight crosses the boundary of the relevant control area. This burdensome calculation is avoided in Phase I by the following simplification of the assumed routes of flights.

All traffic to or from a control area is assumed to arrive or depart via just one airport. Traffic to a remote center is assumed to fly over the airport of each center whose control area it passes through. Figure 1 shows the 44 route legs which flights follow between the 21 airports. A flight follows the shortest path within this network, and handover occurs at the midpoint of each route leg. In the Kansas City control area St. Louis was taken as the representative airport, and Billings was chosen in the Great Falls area. These were believed to give more typical routes of flight.

This approximation vastly simplifies the computer program, and it is hoped that it gives reasonably near the right number of aircraft in each center's control area at any time.

3. Choice of a Programming Language

SIMSCRIPT was chosen as the programming language for this simulation, because the problem seemed well suited to SIMSCRIPT's type of descriptive model, and the SIMSCRIPT system program performs many chores for which detailed programs would otherwise have to be written. The use of a radar beacon code changes only at one of three events: the departure, handover, or arrival of a flight. SIMSCRIPT simulates just this kind of system--one whose state changes only at discrete instants when certain events occur. A computer routine is written for each type of event, and the SIMSCRIPT system program automatically calls these routines in the sequence in which the events have been scheduled. During the execution of an event routine, simulated time stands still, but at its completion simulated time advances immediately to the instant of occurrence of the next scheduled event.

SIMSCRIPT automatically takes care of allocating and recovering temporary storage. When 2,300 flights are in progress, about 23,000 words of storage are needed to store the attributes of each flight and the event notices for the next event in each flight. There is not memory enough to store at the same time these data for all the 25,000 flights in a simulated day. By properly placing a few CREATE and DESTROY statements the programmer can keep the use of storage down to the minimum essential.

SIMSCRIPT's facilities for forming sets of entities turned out to be not exactly suitable for handling the available code lists, but their techniques were adapted in the programs written. As a general programming language SIMSCRIPT is very similar to FORTRAN and contains most of FORTRAN's features. The SIMSCRIPT I.5 language used has been implemented on IBM System 360, Control Data 3600 and 6600, and UNIVAC 1107-1108 computers, so with a few changes it should be possible to run the simulation on any of these machines.

4. The Traffic Sample

The traffic sample used in this simulation was derived from a Peak Day IFR Traffic Tape supplied by the FAA. For each flight this tape gave the following data:

1. Aircraft identity
2. User class; i.e.,
 Air carrier
 General aviation, or
 Military
3. Aircraft type
4. True airspeed
5. Departure airport
6. Flying altitude
7. Destination airport
8. Departure time

The identifiers for departure and destination airports were replaced by the numbers of their control areas. In this process some flights were eliminated because they used airports outside the contiguous 48 states, an airport identifier was not a valid identifier, or the identifier referred to a navigation aid rather than an airport. After these were deleted, 25,646 flights remained.

In order to have a realistic number of flights in progress at the start of the 24 simulated hours, the flights in progress at the end of the 24 hours are preloaded into the system at the start. This makes the 24 hours of traffic a closed repeating cycle, so that it does not matter at which hour the simulation begins. This simulation is begun at 12:00 GMT, because this is not a busy hour and so fewer flights need to be preloaded.

For flights from one center to another, the times of handover and arrival are predicted from the distance divided by the speed of flight. This does not work for flights that originate and terminate in the same control area. For these a duration of one hour is arbitrarily assumed. It was found that about half the departures stay in the same center's control area, so that assumption has a considerable effect on the number of aircraft represented as flying at any given time.

5. Results

The importance of the one-hour duration assumed for flights within one control area prompted a more detailed breakdown of these flights as shown in Tables 1 to 3. While 48 percent of all flights remain within one control area, only 9 percent return to the same airport. The flights between different airports are mostly air carriers, so their average duration should be fairly short for the distances between airports in the same control area. Phase II will give times for these flights and thus largely eliminate the problem, but for Phase I an average duration of one hour seems reasonable.

The counts of aircraft movements are a function of the traffic sample used and not of any code assignment plan, so one version of the simulation program was written just to gather these statistics, which are applicable to all code plans. Tables 4 through 66 give:

1. The number of departures from each center^{1/} to each other center.
2. The number of arrivals at each center from each other center, and
3. The number of handovers from each center to each other center.

Each column gives values for the hour ending at the time printed at the top of the column, and the last column gives 24-hour totals. The last row gives total departures from, arrivals at, or handovers to each center. If a row contained all zeros, printing was suppressed. Grand totals for all 21 centers for the 24 hours are:

Departures	25,646
Arrivals	25,513
Handovers	18,986

^{1/} Hereinafter "center" will often be used to denote the corresponding control area.

The excess of departures over arrivals is the result of preloading too few flights. When the preload tape was made, flights within one center were represented as flying only one-half hour rather than one hour.

Tables 67 and 68 give the number of aircraft flying in each center on the hour and the maximum number of aircraft in each center during the hour. At 22:00 GMT there are 2,293 IFR aircraft in all centers. Cleveland has the maximum number, 238, at some time between 21:00 and 22:00 GMT. The busiest hour has about 8.5 times more aircraft than the least busy hour.

5.1 First Code Plan: Issue Codes from the Top of a List, Return them to the Bottom

In this assignment plan each center has the entire complement of available codes. It keeps those codes that are not in use in an ordered list. If the code being used by an incoming handover aircraft is in the list, it is removed and the flight is allowed to continue without changing its code. When a new code is needed either for an originating flight or for a handover whose code is in use, the code at the top of the list is removed and issued. When a code is released either by a terminating flight or by a handover leaving the control area, the code is returned to the bottom of the list. At the start of the simulation all the lists are arranged in the natural order 1, 2, 3, ... from top to bottom.

Tables 69a to c show the results of a simulation with 800 codes initially in each list. Because this is more than the maximum number of aircraft in any center at one time, no center ever ran out of codes; therefore, all entries under "no code available" are zero. Altogether there were 3,200 code changes in 18,986 handovers; i.e., the code was changed at 16.8 percent of the handovers.

The first hour of the run ends at 13:00 GMT. Notice that the number of code changes is large for the first few hours not just because the traffic is building up but because all centers are issuing the same codes. Later the centers with different amounts of traffic get to issuing from different regions in sequence, and ultimately the code lists get mixed up by varying durations of code use. The poor early behavior could be avoided by starting with each code list shuffled randomly, but then performance would be the same as the third code plan, the Random Assignment Plan.

The programs that implement these code lists are rather complex and wasteful of space. For 800 codes and 21 centers an array of $(800) \cdot (21) = 16,800$ words is needed. Each word contains two pointers (memory addresses), one to the predecessor and one to the successor of its code in its list. Each center has two pointers, one to the first and one to the last code in its list. The program that removes a code from a list must make the proper changes in these pointers. It has to make different changes according to whether the code is first, last, both first and last, or interior in the list. The implementation of the random code assignment plan is simpler.

5.2 Second Code Plan: Issue from the Top of a List, Return to the Top

The second code assignment plan differs from the first only in that released codes are returned to the top of the list. Tables 70a to c give the results with, again, 800 codes available. Altogether there were 10,976 code changes in 18,986 handovers, so codes were changed at 58 percent of the handovers.

The reason for this poor performance is rather obvious. No center ever gets farther down into its list than the maximum number of aircraft in flight in that center at one time. Most of the codes are never used, and all centers are using the lowest numbered codes.

5.3 Third Code Plan: Issue by Random Selection

In the plans where codes are issued from the top of a list consider the situation when a busy center such as Cleveland has issued its first 100 codes. Other less busy centers are issuing from somewhere within this same range of 100 codes, so when one of their aircraft reaches Cleveland its code is almost certain to be in use. One would like to avoid this unfavorable correlation between codes issued in different centers. Considering one aircraft coming to a new center one would like to minimize the probability that its code is in use. But if there has been no advance communication of which particular code this aircraft is using; this requires making the probability of use equally small for all codes. In other words the codes in use should be randomly scattered throughout the space of available codes. This is the motivation for the random assignment plan.

If the codes in use in a center are indeed randomly distributed independently of the code of an incoming aircraft, then the probability that this code is in use is just the number of codes in use divided by the number of codes available. This probability is the mathematical expectation that the code will have to be changed. Over a number of handovers the expected (or long-run average) number of code changes is the sum of these probabilities. This is how the "expected code changes" in Tables 71a to c were computed. The expected values were rounded to the nearest integer before printing, but the 24-hour totals were computed before rounding, so the rounded totals may differ from the totals of the rounded hourly values.

As before, 800 codes were available, and among all centers there were 2,469 code changes in 18,986 handovers; thus codes were changed in 13 percent of the handovers.

The total expected number of code changes was 2,605, so one must ask whether the difference of actual from expected is more than is probable due to sampling fluctuations. If a random variable x takes value 1 with probability p and value 0 with probability $1-p$, then the expectation value of x is p and the variance of x is $p(1-p)$. But if p is much less than 1, then $1-p$ is nearly 1, so that the variance and the expected value are nearly equal. When random variables are added, their expectations and variances are also additive, so this near equality carries over into the sums. The standard deviation of any of our expected values is only a little less than its own square root. The actual number 2,469 of code changes is thus nearly three standard deviations smaller than the expected value 2,605. Moreover, the actual numbers of code changes are in nearly every case smaller than the expected values, so the results can hardly be ascribed to chance.

This conclusion caused some fearful mistrust in the pseudo-random number generator used to select codes, until it was realized that, when an aircraft enters a new control area, not all the codes in use in that area are distributed independently of the code of the incoming aircraft. For concreteness, consider a flight that originated and received its code in Cleveland and is going to Chicago. When Cleveland assigned a code to this flight, there must have been other flights under Cleveland control bound for Chicago and somewhat ahead of this flight. Cleveland carefully avoided giving this flight a code identical to any other under its own control, so when this flight reaches handover to Chicago there are already under Chicago control these earlier flights from Cleveland whose codes are certainly not in conflict with the new one. To compute the probability of

a code conflict with the new flight the number of these earlier flights from Cleveland should be subtracted from both the numerator and denominator. The result is a smaller probability of conflict. In practice it would be too burdensome to keep count of these non-independent codes as needed to compute the correct expectation values. One can merely be thankful that this particular deviation from simple-minded theory is in the favorable direction.

The computer program that implements the random code assignment plan uses $(800) \cdot (21) = 16,800$ bits, one for each code and each center, to tell whether or not that code is in use in that center. The plans that use code lists need that many words (rather than bits) because they store irrelevant information. Out of the enormous number of permutations of the code sequence in each list, they have to identify the particular one that obtains at any time.

When a code is wanted, a routine that generates a pseudo-random number is used to make a random selection from the whole complement of available codes. This code is then checked to see whether it is in use, and if it is another is selected until one is found that is not in use. The effect is to accomplish a random selection from the codes that are not in use. This procedure might require many selections if most of the codes were in use, but in that case most hand-overs would require a code change. One gets a suitably small number of code changes only when the number of codes available is several times larger than the maximum number of codes in use in any center. In this case an unused code is quickly found.

The random code assignment plan had a rational motivation, and it did require fewer code changes than either version of the code list plan. Should one conclude that it is the best possible? Certainly not. In game theory randomization is an optimum strategy for combating one's own ignorance and a thoroughly skillful and malevolent opponent. But, even without communication between centers to reserve codes in advance, this plan does not use all the available information. The destination of each flight is known, and it is known through which centers each flight will pass. It should be possible to use this information to improve performance.

As an example, suppose each center reserved the same 100 codes for issue to flights that do not leave that center. No conflicts could occur among these, and nearly half the flights would be removed from competition for the remaining codes. Traffic data that will be obtained in Phase II is needed to tell whether 100 is actually the right number, but it is certainly in the right ball park. It is not so clear how to block codes to minimize conflicts on longer flights.

One might ask why the code plans were compared at the level of 800 available codes. The Cleveland center needs at least 238 codes, and these plans will require an inordinate number of code changes unless several times that number is available. On the other hand, not all 4,096 codes are available. Certain ones have special meanings such as emergency or radio failure, and something like half the codes have been committed to the military. At present traffic levels 2,300 codes would permit assigning each aircraft a different code, so that no code changes would be required, but the real problem is to find code plans that can accommodate the increased traffic of the 1980's. Finally, the list programs will exceed memory capacity at about 1,000 codes. In the random assignment plan the approximate expected number of changes is inversely proportional to the number of codes available, so results are easily estimated for any other number of codes.

It has been suggested that codes be assigned 15 minutes before takeoff and returned 15 minutes after landing. This change can be programmed easily. It does require a 15 minute advance of the times recorded on the traffic tape, since these must refer to the first event in each flight. The effect will be about the same as reducing the number of available codes in inverse ratio to the increase in the average duration of use.

The running time of these programs is a little under 10 minutes to compile, assemble, and execute on the 1108.

USCOMM-NBS-DC

Tables 1 to 71 of Computer-Generated
Output Follow

Table 1. Analysis of Local Flights

FLIGHTS WITH ORIGIN AND DESTINATION IN THE SAME CENTER

CENTER	GENERAL AVIATION	AIR CARRIER	MILITARY	TOTAL
ALBUQUERQUE	13	86	224	323
ATLANTA	232	389	92	713
GREAT FALLS	16	54	94	164
BOSTON	321	267	84	672
CLEVELAND	456	516	34	1006
FORT WORTH	152	268	364	784
WASHINGTON	169	361	240	770
DENVER	68	222	8	298
HOUSTON	299	339	385	1023
INDIANAPOLIS	235	317	99	651
JACKSONVILLE	75	122	182	379
NEW YORK	354	279	53	686
LOS ANGELES	395	397	180	972
MIAMI	82	219	120	421
MEMPHIS	53	169	64	286
MINNEAPOLIS	105	210	91	406
CHICAGO	468	701	76	1245
SEATTLE	134	267	106	507
OAKLAND	98	232	121	451
SALT LAKE CY	39	67	7	113
KANSAS CITY	175	236	88	499
TOTAL	3939	5718	2712	12369

Table 2. Analysis of Local Flights

FLIGHTS WITH ORIGIN AND DESTINATION AIRPORTS THE SAME

CENTER	GENERAL AVIATION	AIR CARRIER	MILITARY	TOTAL
ALBUQUERQUE	1	3	204	208
ATLANTA	22	2	54	78
GREAT FALLS	1	1	87	89
BOSTON	14	8	55	77
CLEVELAND	13	2	30	45
FORT WORTH	27	2	319	348
WASHINGTON	5	2	125	132
DENVER	11	6	5	22
HOUSTON	25	6	296	327
INDIANAPOLIS	3	0	83	86
JACKSONVILLE	11	4	109	124
NEW YORK	8	7	16	31
LOS ANGELES	4	19	59	82
MIAMI	2	4	92	98
MEMPHIS	5	5	39	49
MINNEAPOLIS	13	1	85	99
CHICAGO	31	9	50	90
SEATTLE	22	0	80	102
OAKLAND	4	3	102	109
SALT LAKE CY	9	0	7	16
KANSAS CITY	7	3	58	68
TOTAL	238	87	1955	2280

Table 3. Analysis of Local Flights (concluded)

FLIGHTS WITHIN ONE CENTER WITH DIFFERENT ORIGIN AND DESTINATION AIRPORTS

CENTER	GENERAL AVIATION	AIR CARRIER	MILITARY	TOTAL
ALBUQUERQUE	12	83	20	115
ATLANTA	210	387	38	635
GREAT FALLS	15	53	7	75
BOSTON	307	259	29	595
CLEVELAND	443	514	4	961
FORT WORTH	125	266	45	436
WASHINGTON	164	359	115	638
DENVER	57	216	3	276
HOUSTON	274	333	89	696
INDIANAPOLIS	232	317	16	565
JACKSONVILLE	64	118	73	255
NEW YORK	346	272	37	655
LOS ANGELES	391	378	121	890
MIAMI	80	215	28	323
MEMPHIS	48	164	25	237
MINNEAPOLIS	92	209	6	307
CHICAGO	437	692	26	1155
SEATTLE	112	267	26	405
OAKLAND	94	229	19	342
SALT LAKE CY	30	67	0	97
KANSAS CITY	168	233	30	431
TOTAL	3701	5631	757	10089

FLIGHTS WITH ORIGIN AND DESTINATION IN DIFFERENT CENTERS

TOTAL	3860	7812	1605	13277
TOTAL FLIGHTS	7799	13530	4317	25646

Table 4

NUMBER OF DEPARTURES FROM BOSTON

TO	AT GHY EST																								TOTAL	
	20	21	22	23	24	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23		24
BOSTON	33	20	34	16	9	3	4	3	2	3	0	10	27	27	40	40	47	43	56	47	54	38	46	41	32	672
NEW YORK	19	24	14	11	4	4	3	2	1	3	6	40	29	30	27	35	22	22	22	30	29	30	42	26	27	480
WASHINGTON	4	1	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	7	7	10	3	2	2	7	3	10	8	5	6	2	83	
JACKSONVILLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	
MIAMI	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	10	
CLEVELAND	2	2	4	4	5	0	2	0	0	0	2	7	10	9	7	2	6	10	2	7	10	6	7	9	113	
ATLANTA	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	7	
INDIANAPOLIS	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	12	
CHICAGO	2	1	0	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	3	2	4	4	6	1	5	6	2	2	3	0	46	
MEMPHIS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	3	
MINNEAPOLIS	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
KANSAS CITY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	6	
DENVER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	2	
SEATTLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
OAKLAND	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	
LOS ANGELES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	9	
TOTAL	60	49	53	36	22	8	10	4	4	4	3	20	85	81	101	85	95	82	98	88	111	92	106	89	71	1453

Table 5

NUMBER OF ARRIVALS AT BOSTON

FROM	NUMBER OF ARRIVALS AT BOSTON																								TOTAL						
	AT GMT EST	20	21	22	23	24	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18		19	20	21	22	23	24
BOSTON	32	33	20	34	16	9	3	4	2	3	0	10	9	25	40	40	47	43	56	47	54	38	46	41	41	47	54	38	46	41	
NEW YORK	17	16	16	9	8	7	8	2	1	1	1	9	15	16	21	17	14	19	22	19	22	27	9	24	24	22	19	22	27	9	24
WASHINGTON	6	6	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	3	6	6	7	3	6	3	6	7	3	8	8	6	3	6	7	3	8
JACKSONVILLE	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	5
MIAMI	0	4	1	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	3	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	16
CLEVELAND	11	5	8	4	3	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	3	8	6	8	6	3	9	7	10	7	4	9	11	4	7	4	9	11	114
ATLANTA	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
INDIANAPOLIS	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	4	0	0	1	0	1	4	0	11
CHICAGO	0	3	1	2	2	2	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	2	2	0	1	0	3	2	2	2	0	1	0	26
MEMPHIS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
HOUSTON	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
MINNEAPOLIS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
KANSAS CITY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
FORT WORTH	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
DENVER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
SEATTLE	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
OAKLAND	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	3
LOS ANGELES	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	2	0	6
TOTAL	69	72	52	52	31	19	14	6	6	6	4	20	34	53	77	76	75	68	98	80	94	87	70	87	1250	1					

Table 6

NUMBER OF HANDOVERS TO BOSTON

FROM	NUMBER OF HANDOVERS TO BOSTON																								TOTAL				
	AT GMT EST	20	21	22	23	24	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18		19	20	21	22
NEW YORK	26	25	23	11	7	11	6	1	1	1	4	15	18	30	16	27	20	25	24	31	28	28	20	29	427				
CLEVELAND	6	13	10	3	4	2	1	1	3	1	1	5	8	8	15	6	7	7	11	13	11	11	7	17	171				
TOTAL	32	38	33	14	11	13	7	2	4	2	5	20	26	38	31	33	27	32	35	44	39	39	27	46	598				

Table 7

NUMBER OF DEPARTURES FROM NEW YORK

TO	AT GMT EST	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	TOTAL
BOSTON	14	15	16	18	4	10	8	3	1	1	2	3	16	13	24	16	16	21	15	19	25	24	16	16	20	320
NEW YORK	24	15	21	19	17	6	4	4	1	0	1	23	43	41	56	61	61	46	38	45	36	44	31	27	32	686
WASHINGTON	8	4	13	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	2	3	25	25	19	18	17	11	13	17	15	19	13	14	10	250
JACKSONVILLE	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	2	0	3	0	2	2	2	1	2	2	1	23
MIAMI	0	5	2	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	4	4	5	2	2	3	0	4	5	3	48
CLEVELAND	18	26	6	8	4	1	2	2	2	2	0	5	25	23	20	25	20	19	11	21	18	19	29	20	22	346
ATLANTA	2	1	1	1	1	2	0	1	2	0	0	2	2	7	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	1	3	3	6	49
INDIANAPOLIS	2	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	5	1	5	2	5	1	8	8	2	4	5	57
CHICAGO	8	6	2	6	4	4	1	1	3	2	0	0	3	9	6	4	11	9	8	6	8	8	7	8	6	129
MEMPHIS	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	7
HOUSTON	0	1	3	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	2	0	0	3	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	22
MINNEAPOLIS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	8
KANSAS CITY	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	4	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	3	2	4	21
FORT WORTH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	3	0	10
DENVER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	6
ALBUQUERQUE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2
SALT LAKE CITY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
SEATTLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
OAKLAND	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	1	2	0	1	1	1	0	12
LOS ANGELES	1	1	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	2	0	1	1	1	0	2	2	2	22
TOTAL	81	78	67	42	33	25	13	13	11	5	6	37	118	128	156	139	142	123	100	120	122	130	116	112	118	2022

Table 8

NUMBER OF ARRIVALS AT NEW YORK

FROM	NUMBER OF ARRIVALS AT NEW YORK																			TOTAL								
	AT GMT EST	20	21	22	23	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17		18	19	20	21	22	23	24	
BOSTON		20	23	22	8	8	4	4	4	2	3	1	2	25	32	29	26	30	33	19	31	19	38	33	37	31	480	
NEW YORK		32	24	15	21	19	7	8	4	1	0	1	23	20	41	56	61	61	61	46	38	45	38	44	44	31	27	663
WASHINGTON		18	23	24	12	3	0	3	0	0	4	3	2	10	18	24	31	25	31	20	23	24	33	25	20	27	403	
JACKSONVILLE		4	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	1	0	0	1	1	2	2	0	0	1	1	2	4	29	
MIAMI		5	6	3	0	0	13	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	7	7	2	2	9	9	10	5	7	90	
CLEVELAND		22	17	21	10	3	5	4	1	3	5	4	24	20	23	24	28	28	21	22	24	24	24	30	28	24	392	
ATLANTA		6	7	7	2	0	2	4	1	2	1	1	3	2	0	2	3	3	3	5	4	5	4	3	4	5	79	
INDIANAPOLIS		3	7	1	2	2	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	3	1	5	5	3	3	4	2	1	7	4	8	60	
CHICAGO		9	8	4	7	7	9	4	0	2	2	0	0	0	5	2	7	6	5	4	7	7	5	11	7	6	124	
MEMPHIS		1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	7	
HOUSTON		0	2	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	2	2	1	1	19	
MINNEAPOLIS		1	0	2	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	1	1	14	
KANSAS CITY		2	5	2	0	2	1	1	2	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	0	1	2	2	3	2	3	36	
FORT WORTH		0	3	1	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	2	2	1	1	2	21	
DENVER		0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	
ALBUQUERQUE		0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	5	
SEATTLE		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	
OAKLAND		3	2	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	5	2	29	
LOS ANGELES		4	3	1	2	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	3	2	36	
TOTAL		132	135	106	71	45	46	32	19	18	13	23	75	104	119	149	167	179	124	142	141	171	188	150	152	2501		

Table 9

NUMBER OF HANDOVERS TO NEW YORK

FROM	NUMBER OF HANDOVERS TO NEW YORK																			TOTAL							
	AT GMT EST	20	21	22	23	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17		18	19	20	21	22	23	24
BOSTON		21	29	16	13	7	4	4	2	2	2	4	4	41	39	47	28	33	37	24	31	41	42	40	41	34	582
WASHINGTON		42	50	34	17	4	20	7	3	11	1	6	22	32	34	42	41	40	44	44	37	49	53	46	42	55	727
CLEVELAND		49	40	29	19	15	20	10	7	7	13	6	23	30	35	34	43	36	30	41	39	64	59	43	46	738	
TOTAL		112	119	79	44	26	44	21	12	20	16	16	86	101	116	104	117	113	98	109	129	159	145	126	135	2047	

Table 10

NUMBER OF DEPARTURES FROM WASHINGTON

TO	NUMBER OF DEPARTURES FROM WASHINGTON																								TOTAL							
	AT EST	20	21	22	23	24	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18		19	20	21	22	23	24	TOTAL
BOSTON	7	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	6	5	7	4	4	6	2	6	5	8	5	6	4	4	4	5	6	4	4	82	
NEW YORK	16	21	20	6	0	3	0	3	3	2	3	17	28	29	29	29	25	25	24	23	26	32	19	23	26	26	32	19	23	26	403	
WASHINGTON	34	25	26	12	8	2	1	3	1	4	19	31	63	52	76	55	54	53	49	59	51	51	35	27	28	28	51	35	27	28	770	
JACKSONVILLE	5	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	6	3	8	1	7	4	3	6	3	0	0	2	2	6	3	0	2	55	
MIAMI	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	6	2	3	2	2	4	4	0	1	1	1	1	1	4	0	1	2	30	
CLEVELAND	4	4	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	7	4	4	9	6	4	8	10	3	9	3	9	3	8	10	3	9	3	100	
ATLANTA	6	4	4	2	2	0	1	0	1	0	1	4	15	11	9	6	8	9	9	6	5	8	8	11	8	8	6	5	8	11	132	
INDIANAPOLIS	4	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	5	3	7	5	5	5	5	4	7	8	6	5	3	4	7	8	6	5	80	
CHICAGO	2	2	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	2	4	2	5	4	4	4	4	4	2	5	4	4	4	4	2	5	3	53
MEMPHIS	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	4	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	3	3	1	1	1	3	1	31	
HOUSTON	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	1	1	1	2	2	1	2	0	1	2	2	0	1	2	16	
MINNEAPOLIS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	6	
KANSAS CITY	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	1	3	0	0	2	0	1	4	2	0	1	4	2	0	1	20	
FORT WORTH	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	2	1	4	1	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	19	
DENVER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	
ALBUQUERQUE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
SEATTLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
OAKLAND	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	
LOS ANGELES	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	2	2	0	1	0	2	2	0	12	
TOTAL	86	64	64	25	13	6	5	6	6	7	28	81	140	133	142	124	118	124	113	134	119	99	92	90	92	90	119	99	92	90	1819	

Table 11
NUMBER OF ARRIVALS AT WASHINGTON

FROM	NUMBER OF ARRIVALS AT WASHINGTON																			TOTAL									
	AT GMT EST	20	21	22	23	24	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13		14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
BOSTON	4	3	1	2	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	7	7	8	5	5	3	3	1	6	1	10	8	9	5	83		
NEW YORK	11	5	7	9	1	2	1	0	0	1	1	5	27	25	15	17	12	19	14	17	15	14	17	15	14	249			
WASHINGTON	28	36	25	26	12	8	2	1	3	1	4	19	17	63	52	76	55	54	53	49	59	51	35	27	756				
JACKSONVILLE	5	4	3	3	1	2	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	2	1	4	1	6	1	7	3	4	1	2	54				
MIAMI	3	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	2	0	2	2	0	2	4	3	2	29			
CLEVELAND	6	10	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	12	5	8	8	9	6	13	11	9	6	6	2	106				
ATLANTA	11	7	10	4	0	7	3	1	0	0	1	0	2	5	8	12	6	13	14	8	7	7	7	17	144				
INDIANAPOLIS	11	2	3	7	4	3	4	0	1	0	0	0	1	7	5	7	6	7	9	9	9	6	2	2	101				
CHICAGO	2	4	4	3	0	5	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	2	1	3	3	3	3	1	1	44				
MEMPHIS	3	2	0	2	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	3	2	23				
HOUSTON	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	11				
MINNEAPOLIS	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2			
KANSAS CITY	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	2	1	1	1	0	3	1	16				
FORT WORTH	2	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	14				
DEMEYER	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5				
ALBUQUERQUE	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1				
SEATTLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3				
OAKLAND	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5				
LOS ANGELES	1	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	13			
TOTAL	91	83	60	63	21	31	13	6	8	3	9	28	58	114	98	133	113	98	104	119	124	117	92	75	1661				

Table 12
NUMBER OF HANDOVERS TO WASHINGTON

FROM	NUMBER OF HANDOVERS TO WASHINGTON																			TOTAL									
	AT GMT EST	20	21	22	23	24	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13		14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
NEW YORK	18	9	22	6	10	3	4	3	0	1	3	22	44	46	33	33	23	27	26	28	33	32	41	26	493				
JACKSONVILLE	24	13	4	4	22	3	4	4	1	3	1	2	1	3	14	22	12	12	21	22	21	21	20	23	277				
CLEVELAND	13	10	9	5	4	2	2	0	1	0	0	1	13	14	13	9	17	8	10	18	13	9	6	4	181				
ATLANTA	23	15	14	4	8	8	4	4	2	3	4	2	5	8	12	15	18	21	18	12	17	18	30	15	280				
INDIANAPOLIS	11	10	9	7	4	3	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	8	3	10	8	7	14	8	17	9	6	13	155				
TOTAL	89	57	58	26	48	19	15	12	5	8	9	29	64	79	75	89	78	75	89	88	101	89	103	81	1386				

Table 13

NUMBER OF DEPARTURES FROM JACKSONVILLE

TO	NUMBER OF DEPARTURES FROM JACKSONVILLE																								TOTAL			
	AT EST	GMT 20	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23	24	
BOSTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	5	
NEW YORK	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	3	3	0	0	1	3	3	0	0	0	1	3	5	4	1	29
WASHINGTON	5	1	2	0	1	0	1	2	0	1	4	4	2	6	5	4	3	1	4	3	1	4	3	4	3	3	54	
JACKSONVILLE	17	14	16	7	8	5	1	1	6	2	5	14	27	33	26	30	26	25	30	23	23	23	21	18	21	18	379	
MIAMI	9	8	5	3	5	0	2	1	1	1	1	2	5	1	7	6	8	8	4	9	10	9	10	9	10	9	116	
CLEVELAND	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	2	3	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	13	
ATLANTA	10	5	4	6	6	1	1	1	3	2	6	12	21	16	11	14	13	12	15	16	21	17	15	17	15	229		
INDIANAPOLIS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	1	9	
CHICAGO	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	8	
MEMPHIS	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	1	1	4	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	14	
HOUSTON	1	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	4	2	3	4	2	3	3	1	4	2	3	1	4	2	3	37	
MINNEAPOLIS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
KANSAS CITY	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	4	
FORT WORTH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	8	
LOS ANGELES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
TOTAL	46	32	29	10	22	6	7	6	5	12	5	14	35	68	63	55	63	57	61	63	55	72	60	53	60	53	907	

Table 14

NUMBER OF ARRIVALS AT JACKSONVILLE

FROM	NUMBER OF ARRIVALS AT JACKSONVILLE																								TOTAL						
	AT GMT EST	20	21	22	23	24	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18		19	20	21	22	23	24
BOSTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
NEW YORK	2	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	2	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	21	
WASHINGTON	2	5	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	4	2	6	3	5	4	2	3	5	4	2	55	
JACKSONVILLE	18	17	14	16	7	8	5	1	1	1	6	2	6	12	27	33	26	30	26	25	30	23	23	23	21	21	23	23	21	378	
MIAMI	2	4	3	6	5	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	4	8	6	6	6	2	5	8	10	8	10	7	10	7	10	7	103	
CLEVELAND	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	
ATLANTA	8	8	10	6	9	5	1	0	0	1	0	0	3	8	4	5	9	16	12	11	11	11	11	19	10	10	10	10	168		
INDIANAPOLIS	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	2	1	1	4	2	2	2	2	15		
CHICAGO	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4		
MEMPHIS	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12		
HOUSTON	6	1	2	1	1	2	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45		
MINNEAPOLIS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		
KANSAS CITY	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5		
FORT WORTH	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9		
ALBUQUERQUE	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2		
TOTAL	39	37	40	34	24	19	12	1	3	4	7	3	11	27	43	50	52	56	60	56	60	55	69	52	52	69	52	824			

Table 15

NUMBER OF HANDOVERS TO JACKSONVILLE

FROM	NUMBER OF HANDOVERS TO JACKSONVILLE																								TOTAL						
	AT GMT EST	20	21	22	23	24	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18		19	20	21	22	23	24
WASHINGTON	10	9	9	4	3	2	2	1	0	0	0	1	1	11	20	20	12	17	12	14	15	3	13	11	11	14	15	3	13	11	190
MIAMI	23	6	6	10	12	8	3	1	2	2	3	0	5	27	35	22	18	15	48	45	28	39	36	33	33	45	28	39	36	33	447
ATLANTA	20	26	22	13	12	18	5	2	1	1	2	3	11	9	8	17	28	38	23	31	25	38	23	23	23	31	25	38	23	399	
HOUSTON	1	6	2	3	6	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	5	5	4	4	7	3	5	9	6	6	7	3	5	9	6	70
TOTAL	54	47	39	50	33	29	11	4	3	3	5	4	17	48	64	64	63	74	87	97	71	85	81	73	73	85	81	73	1106		

Table 16

NUMBER OF DEPARTURES FROM MIAMI

TO	NUMBER OF DEPARTURES FROM MIAMI																				TOTAL					
	AT GMT EST	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19		20	21	22	23	24
BOSTON	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	0	1	1	2	23	24
NEW YORK	2	0	1	12	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	7	3	1	10	11	8	4	7	5	8	90
WASHINGTON	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	5	1	0	4	0	2	5	0	0	2	5	0	3	3	29
JACKSONVILLE	3	5	6	2	3	1	0	1	1	0	5	9	8	3	5	13	5	10	12	3	5	10	12	3	4	103
MIAMI	15	16	13	12	10	5	5	3	3	3	2	9	19	37	38	25	30	24	26	30	21	25	29	14	10	421
CLEVELAND	1	1	1	5	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	1	1	2	4	5	2	4	2	4	41
ATLANTA	2	1	1	1	2	2	0	1	3	6	2	4	2	4	3	1	11	6	10	11	6	4	10	2	5	72
INDIANAPOLIS	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	3	0	1	1	0	2	14
CHICAGO	2	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	1	6	1	3	3	2	31
MEMPHIS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	6
HOUSTON	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	2	3	2	2	1	2	2	0	24
KANSAS CITY	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	7
FORT WORTH	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	1	10
ALBUQUERQUE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
LOS ANGELES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3
TOTAL	30	24	24	43	18	9	7	6	4	4	11	21	47	75	58	45	36	56	56	81	58	56	75	38	42	868

Table 17

NUMBER OF ARRIVALS AT MIAMI

FROM	NUMBER OF ARRIVALS AT MIAMI																				TOTAL										
	AT GHT EST	20	21	22	23	24	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14		15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
BOSTON	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
NEW YORK	5	4	0	4	3	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	6	4	3	2	4	3	0	0	3	2	4	3	0	48
WASHINGTON	1	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	3	3	2	3	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	
JACKSONVILLE	12	10	6	8	4	2	2	1	1	2	1	2	4	5	4	4	4	4	6	5	9	8	3	6	10	116					
MIAMI	10	15	16	13	12	10	5	5	3	3	2	9	11	37	38	25	30	24	26	30	21	25	29	14	413						
CLEVELAND	0	0	2	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	3	1	3	1	3	1	0	0	20						
ATLANTA	6	6	7	11	4	2	3	0	1	0	0	1	1	3	0	3	2	4	9	7	4	5	11	7	97						
INDIANAPOLIS	1	1	3	0	0	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	2	22						
CHICAGO	0	3	1	0	1	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	4	2	3	2	0	34						
MEMPHIS	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	7						
HOUSTON	1	3	4	2	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	2	0	2	0	1	3	25					
KANSAS CITY	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	1	1	0	10						
FORT WORTH	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	4						
DENVER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1						
ALBUQUERQUE	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3						
OAKLAND	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2						
LOS ANGELES	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3						
TOTAL	40	46	42	41	28	19	27	8	7	6	5	12	15	44	46	52	56	51	60	57	43	50	50	40	845						

Table 18

NUMBER OF HANDOVERS TO MIAMI

FROM	NUMBER OF HANDOVERS TO MIAMI																				TOTAL								
	AT GHT EST	20	21	22	23	24	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14		15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
JACKSONVILLE	31	23	29	22	9	16	14	5	4	3	1	3	7	7	12	28	27	31	30	28	21	28	20	33	432				
TOTAL	31	23	29	22	9	16	14	5	4	3	1	3	7	7	12	28	27	31	30	28	21	28	20	33	432				

Table 20

NUMBER OF ARRIVALS AT CLEVELAND

FROM	NUMBER OF ARRIVALS AT CLEVELAND																								TOTAL			
	AT EST	20	21	22	23	24	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23	24	
BOSTON	9	1	5	3	3	5	3	3	3	0	1	1	0	0	6	8	10	2	4	8	7	5	6	9	9	9	8	113
NEW YORK	24	17	24	10	9	5	1	1	1	4	1	0	0	6	20	25	13	19	24	27	10	18	19	19	19	19	31	346
WASHINGTON	4	9	2	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	10	5	2	8	4	8	7	7	7	8	4	99	
JACKSONVILLE	1	0	3	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	1	13	
MIAMI	2	4	3	1	1	4	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	2	2	1	5	4	2	3	41	
CLEVELAND	40	31	33	30	22	17	18	6	7	3	4	12	4	4	67	70	67	67	61	54	60	74	69	65	75	52	981	
ATLANTA	7	8	2	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	2	2	1	4	5	1	43	
INDIANAPOLIS	12	12	11	6	5	5	1	4	1	2	1	0	6	10	9	4	8	10	13	11	9	16	21	16	21	21	198	
CHICAGO	19	19	16	9	9	13	5	2	3	1	0	2	3	11	18	15	12	11	19	15	15	15	24	29	21	21	291	
MEMPHIS	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	3	0	1	1	1	1	3	15	
HOUSTON	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
MINNEAPOLIS	1	1	2	1	3	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	0	2	2	0	4	2	3	5	4	1	39	
KANSAS CITY	1	0	2	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	2	2	1	1	0	17	
FORT WORTH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
OAKLAND	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	6	
LOS ANGELES	0	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	4	0	1	17	
TOTAL	122	105	106	69	57	48	35	17	21	8	7	27	86	135	129	112	125	123	127	141	140	160	175	147	2222	2222		

Table 21

NUMBER OF HANDOVERS TO CLEVELAND

FROM	NUMBER OF HANDOVERS TO CLEVELAND																								TOTAL		
	AT EST	20	21	22	23	24	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23	24
BOSTON	9	4	5	6	5	5	2	1	1	0	0	5	13	17	12	11	12	11	12	13	9	14	19	14	10	12	199
NEW YORK	43	33	19	18	11	10	3	7	3	2	2	17	32	44	39	36	41	34	30	29	40	40	34	56	41	624	
WASHINGTON	13	14	8	6	2	8	0	1	2	0	1	3	18	5	10	8	16	11	13	18	13	16	13	16	13	14	213
INDIANAPOLIS	38	23	17	9	7	7	11	6	3	5	3	5	11	18	17	20	17	25	19	26	34	34	38	41	24	424	
CHICAGO	47	34	30	19	39	14	4	12	5	9	10	15	7	40	31	22	19	33	33	42	59	56	37	42	42	659	
TOTAL	150	108	79	58	64	44	20	27	14	16	16	45	81	124	109	97	105	116	104	129	165	158	157	133	133	2119	

Table 22

NUMBER OF DEPARTURES FROM ATLANTA

TO	AT GMT EST	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	TOTAL		
BOSTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3
NEW YORK	6	2	3	1	1	3	1	1	3	3	3	0	3	3	3	0	3	9	2	3	5	4	7	5	7	7	7	79
WASHINGTON	6	7	3	2	4	4	2	1	0	1	0	10	11	10	10	11	10	10	11	9	6	9	17	9	8	8	144	
JACKSONVILLE	5	11	7	7	4	3	0	0	0	1	0	1	4	5	6	6	8	17	13	11	11	16	14	8	10	168		
MIAMI	7	10	6	2	2	2	1	0	0	0	1	1	3	2	1	2	1	9	10	6	6	8	6	6	6	6	97	
CLEVELAND	4	2	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	2	0	1	2	2	2	7	2	1	5	4	5	43		
ATLANTA	32	35	23	22	9	4	6	3	3	2	4	2	13	34	38	48	46	41	59	48	48	48	48	50	39	713		
INDIANAPOLIS	5	7	0	0	0	2	1	0	1	3	0	1	2	5	5	3	5	7	7	6	10	6	7	4	7	89		
CHICAGO	1	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	5	0	3	1	4	0	4	34		
MEMPHIS	3	8	4	4	2	0	0	1	0	2	1	1	6	13	10	17	16	13	8	8	8	12	10	9	5	153		
HOUSTON	1	7	1	1	2	2	0	0	0	1	0	5	1	6	3	3	6	6	4	4	4	5	7	5	3	69		
MINNEAPOLIS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2		
KAISAS CITY	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	2	9		
FORT WORTH	1	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	3	0	1	1	2	1	2	2	2	4	2	2	29		
DENVER	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3		
ALBUQUERQUE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2		
OAKLAND	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2		
LOS ANGELES	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2		
TOTAL	71	95	52	41	27	18	10	8	7	15	11	33	66	79	85	100	125	129	105	118	113	131	103	99	1641			

Table 25

HUMBER OF DEPARTURES FROM INDIANAPOLIS

TO	AT GMT																								TOTAL						
	19	20	21	22	23	24	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18		19	20	21	22	23	24
BOSTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	2	3	0	1	0	11
NEW YORK	2	1	4	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	3	3	3	5	3	2	3	2	3	2	2	3	3	4	5	9	4	5	60
WASHINGTON	2	5	5	4	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	5	4	7	8	6	9	9	9	3	2	10	6	10	3	2	10	6	101	
JACKSONVILLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	2	1	0	2	1	1	1	1	2	0	4	1	1	2	0	15
MIAMI	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	5	1	2	0	1	0	1	0	2	1	1	0	0	2	1	22	
CLEVELAND	12	11	5	5	5	2	3	2	0	3	1	12	8	6	9	8	11	14	12	12	28	17	10	4	9	8	13	4	9	107	
ATLANTA	3	1	3	4	1	0	1	0	1	2	0	3	6	9	7	5	7	6	5	9	8	13	4	9	5	9	8	13	4	9	107
INDIANAPOLIS	35	30	23	18	14	6	3	0	0	0	0	4	27	49	55	45	42	32	39	36	50	52	47	44	36	50	52	47	44	651	
CHICAGO	11	4	5	6	4	3	1	2	0	0	0	2	5	15	8	8	4	8	3	8	7	9	5	12	7	9	5	12	130		
MEMPHIS	2	3	3	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	6	6	5	5	4	5	2	4	4	3	3	4	4	4	3	3	60	
HOUSTON	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	9	
MINNEAPOLIS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	3	
KANSAS CITY	0	3	8	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	5	5	4	3	8	2	1	5	3	3	4	4	5	3	3	4	66	
FORT WORTH	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	1	1	0	3	0	2	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	16	
DENVER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
ALBUQUERQUE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	6
SALT LAKE CY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
OAKLAND	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
LOS ANGELES	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	
TOTAL	72	59	56	43	30	15	11	5	3	3	6	17	68	103	109	93	82	82	85	93	103	126	100	98	93	103	126	100	98	1462	

Table 26

NUMBER OF ARRIVALS AT INDIANAPOLIS

FROM	NUMBER OF ARRIVALS AT INDIANAPOLIS																								TOTAL	
	AT GMT CST	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23		24
BOSTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	1	0	2	12
NEW YORK	6	5	3	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	3	4	2	5	2	3	3	3	2	57
WASHINGTON	6	6	1	3	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	2	4	2	4	5	6	7	5	5	4	5	7	7	5	80
JACKSONVILLE	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	2	1	1	9
MIAMI	0	2	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	2	0	14
CLEVELAND	6	8	7	3	3	2	3	1	0	4	2	5	14	18	17	14	17	14	14	16	19	16	15	10	7	219
ATLANTA	8	5	5	4	1	1	2	0	0	1	3	0	1	3	1	3	1	3	2	10	7	4	10	10	4	89
INDIANAPOLIS	44	35	30	23	18	14	6	3	0	0	0	0	2	27	49	55	45	45	42	32	39	36	50	52	47	649
CHICAGO	11	10	9	5	10	3	1	1	2	0	0	3	6	11	10	9	9	9	10	9	11	11	8	15	6	170
MEMPHIS	4	4	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	2	4	2	4	3	4	3	1	2	4	5	43
HOUSTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3
MINNEAPOLIS	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4
KANSAS CITY	3	2	0	2	3	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	1	4	5	1	5	1	0	2	5	6	8	1	2	50
FORT WORTH	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	2	0	0	1	11
ALBUQUERQUE	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
OAKLAND	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
LOS ANGELES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	5
TOTAL	92	79	58	46	37	22	18	7	5	7	6	13	31	66	91	102	82	82	90	82	90	89	116	107	84	1420

Table 27

NUMBER OF HANDOVERS TO INDIANAPOLIS

FROM	NUMBER OF HANDOVERS TO INDIANAPOLIS																								TOTAL	
	AT GMT CST	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23		24
WASHINGTON	5	5	2	1	4	2	0	0	0	1	1	3	7	11	8	7	12	11	7	8	9	10	17	9	9	140
CLEVELAND	21	14	13	7	10	10	2	3	4	6	6	10	33	24	37	34	20	19	32	34	31	22	29	26	26	447
ATLANTA	15	14	11	5	6	5	2	1	1	6	3	1	3	3	8	10	13	15	12	17	20	16	16	20	20	231
CHICAGO	18	10	9	5	22	2	2	1	4	1	1	4	7	16	13	14	15	13	16	17	14	15	14	13	13	246
MEMPHIS	5	4	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	3	2	9	2	4	5	5	5	3	6	8	5	71	
KANSAS CITY	23	7	4	7	4	6	5	8	2	3	4	3	4	6	12	8	5	10	14	15	18	17	9	19	213	
TOTAL	87	54	39	27	46	25	12	13	11	17	15	23	57	62	87	75	69	73	86	96	103	86	93	92	84	1348

Table 29
NUMBER OF ARRIVALS AT CHICAGO

FROM	NUMBER OF ARRIVALS AT CHICAGO																	TOTAL								
	AT GMT CST	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16		17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
BOSTON	3	1	0	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	2	3	5	0	1	8	5	5	1	46
NEW YORK	11	8	5	3	5	2	7	2	3	2	1	0	2	7	7	7	4	9	9	7	7	10	5	7	6	129
WASHINGTON	6	2	2	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	0	4	4	4	2	4	3	4	5	3	4	53
JACKSONVILLE	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	8
MIAMI	3	2	3	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	2	0	1	6	0	3	31
CLEVELAND	15	10	9	11	12	5	4	2	4	2	5	6	14	18	19	21	23	13	15	23	26	11	20	18	306	
ATLANTA	4	2	0	2	2	1	0	0	2	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	2	5	1	34	
INDIANAPOLIS	9	9	4	8	4	4	1	2	1	0	0	2	0	10	17	3	6	10	5	2	8	8	8	9	130	
CHICAGO	86	66	55	49	47	50	10	12	8	6	2	1	4	44	75	96	99	85	70	59	82	71	88	79	1244	
MEMPHIS	0	2	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	4	2	3	0	1	3	3	5	29	
HOUSTON	2	0	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	0	1	2	0	1	0	15	
MINNEAPOLIS	14	10	13	4	2	2	2	1	1	0	0	3	10	12	7	12	13	11	13	9	16	12	16	10	193	
KANSAS CITY	12	17	9	6	8	3	3	1	3	1	3	0	1	3	18	10	10	7	12	9	9	11	7	8	171	
FORT WORTH	2	3	4	2	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	5	2	3	1	4	3	1	6	45	
GREAT FALLS	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
DENVER	2	6	0	2	1	4	1	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	3	3	2	1	3	5	5	4	2	3	52	
ALBUQUERQUE	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	1	1	2	2	18	
SALT LAKE CY	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	3	
SEATTLE	2	3	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	2	0	4	26	
OAKLAND	3	4	2	2	1	0	0	1	1	2	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4	1	3	37	
LOS ANGELES	3	4	5	6	2	2	0	0	0	2	3	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	5	4	2	6	58
TOTAL	179	153	118	100	92	85	30	22	25	17	22	24	36	107	152	165	184	151	148	137	189	152	179	163	2630	

Table 30

NUMBER OF HANDOVERS TO CHICAGO

FROM	NUMBER OF HANDOVERS TO CHICAGO																	TOTAL							
	AT GMT CST	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16		17	18	19	20	21	22	23
CLEVELAND	35	20	25	16	16	14	11	8	10	6	7	9	21	48	48	53	45	30	37	46	41	45	44	53	696
INDIANAPOLIS	17	12	9	8	7	11	0	2	2	1	1	2	1	17	14	10	10	11	10	3	11	24	11	15	209
MIUPEAPOLIS	19	21	11	9	5	2	1	1	3	1	5	7	22	11	13	12	18	26	23	25	22	20	22	16	315
KANSAS CITY	19	25	9	11	13	3	3	5	2	1	2	2	3	11	22	17	18	14	20	12	18	20	16	28	293
DENVER	15	17	10	12	3	3	3	4	7	17	15	5	3	3	4	3	3	6	29	32	18	10	20	16	258
TOTAL	105	95	63	56	44	33	18	20	24	26	30	25	50	90	101	95	94	95	119	118	110	119	113	128	1771

Table 31

NUMBER OF DEPARTURES FROM MEMPHIS

TO	NUMBER OF DEPARTURES FROM MEMPHIS																								TOTAL		
	AT GMT CST	19	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23	24
BOSTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
NEW YORK	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
JACKSONVILLE	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIAMI	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLEVELAND	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ATLANTA	4	4	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	5	7	8	5	8	5	8	10	7	5	11	9	12	4	110
INDIANAPOLIS	3	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	2	1	4	4	1	0	6	3	6	3	4	43
CHICAGO	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	3	2	1	0	5	2	4	2	0	0	0	29
MEMPHIS	14	11	2	3	4	4	1	3	1	0	0	11	23	16	22	22	22	22	20	17	20	19	25	15	11	11	286
HOUSTON	5	2	1	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	4	2	4	2	7	2	1	2	3	3	4	4	52
MINNEAPOLIS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
KANSAS CITY	3	2	5	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	6	3	6	3	5	6	7	2	4	6	6	3	67
FORT WORTH	1	4	2	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	1	4	8	5	6	6	3	7	2	3	5	8	71
DENVER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
ALBUQUERQUE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LOS ANGELES	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
TOTAL	36	25	16	13	7	9	6	5	4	2	4	16	35	45	56	45	59	51	47	47	47	53	59	54	39	733	

Table 34

NUMBER OF DEPARTURES FROM HOUSTON

TO	NUMBER OF DEPARTURES FROM HOUSTON																								TOTAL		
	AT GMT CST	19	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23	24
BOSTON	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
NEW YORK	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
WASHINGTON	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
JACKSONVILLE	2	2	2	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45
MIAMI	3	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
CLEVELAND	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
ATLANTA	4	2	2	2	3	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
INDIANAPOLIS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	61
CHICAGO	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
MEMPHIS	2	0	2	3	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
HOUSTON	46	36	32	29	14	11	2	5	1	0	0	3	2	11	55	71	74	95	93	93	72	79	75	77	78	62	1023
KANSAS CITY	2	3	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26
FORT WORTH	9	6	3	4	4	9	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	4	7	15	19	17	21	19	17	13	15	22	16	227	
GREAT FALLS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
DENVER	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
ALBUQUERQUE	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
SALT LAKE CY	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
SEATTLE	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
OAKLAND	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
LOS ANGELES	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
TOTAL	76	55	53	48	27	22	5	8	3	1	4	4	4	21	77	123	112	140	132	117	111	117	118	117	93	1584	

Table 35
NUMBER OF ARRIVALS AT HOUSTON

FROM	AT GHT	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	TOTAL	
NEW YORK	1	2	2	0	1	2	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	1	2	0	3	0	0	1	22
WASHINGTON	2	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	2	16	
JACKSONVILLE	2	2	5	1	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	6	1	3	2	1	3	37	
MIAMI	3	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	4	3	3	1	0	1	24	
CLEVELAND	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	
ATLANTA	0	5	3	4	2	2	3	0	0	0	1	0	3	1	1	3	1	1	10	7	6	1	0	1	6	69	
INDIANAPOLIS	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	2	0	9	
CHICAGO	1	4	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	
MEMPHIS	5	5	2	3	1	1	2	1	0	1	1	4	2	3	2	6	2	3	2	6	2	3	3	0	4	52	
HOUSTON	62	46	36	32	29	14	11	11	2	5	1	11	55	71	74	95	93	72	79	75	77	77	77	78	78	1022	
MINNEAPOLIS	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
KANSAS CITY	3	4	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4	1	2	0	0	0	0	3	25	
FORT WORTH	12	11	5	6	6	2	1	0	3	3	1	1	8	8	17	12	14	18	13	20	11	17	9	8	8	206	
DEIVER	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	4		
ALBUQUERQUE	0	2	2	1	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	28	
SALT LAKE CY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
SEATTLE	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	
OAKLAND	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	4		
LOS ANGELES	3	1	0	2	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	3	19	
TOTAL	104	85	62	53	45	26	22	5	8	6	5	4	14	23	78	97	102	128	141	111	113	112	97	114	1555		

Table 36

NUMBER OF HANDOVERS TO HOUSTON

FROM	AT GHT	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	TOTAL
JACKSONVILLE	8	4	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	9	3	5	7	2	4	1	4	61
ATLANTA	5	8	4	2	5	3	3	0	0	2	0	1	4	2	5	5	5	5	8	8	5	7	3	11	11	107
MEMPHIS	7	11	4	4	2	3	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	3	3	7	8	8	9	6	4	4	6	6	12	101
FORT WORTH	12	14	9	7	9	5	1	1	3	4	1	1	13	15	13	11	20	15	23	16	21	16	20	14	14	264
TOTAL	32	37	19	13	19	11	4	1	5	6	1	3	17	20	25	27	42	35	42	32	34	29	38	41	533	

Table 38
NUMBER OF ARRIVALS AT MINNEAPOLIS

FROM	NUMBER OF ARRIVALS AT MINNEAPOLIS																			TOTAL								
	AT GMT CST	19	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17		18	19	20	21	22	23	24	
BOSTON	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	
NEW YORK	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	
WASHINGTON	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	6	
JACKSONVILLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
CLEVELAND	0	3	2	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	2	4	10	4	4	4	5	4	3	2	58
ATLANTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
INDIANAPOLIS	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	
CHICAGO	7	6	12	13	13	9	3	0	1	2	2	0	1	0	8	9	14	13	10	9	9	6	11	7	165	165		
MEMPHIS	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	
MINNEAPOLIS	21	10	7	10	14	5	23	5	6	5	5	8	5	28	33	24	19	25	23	33	30	16	21	26	402	402		
KANSAS CITY	3	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	11	11	
FORT WORTH	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
GREAT FALLS	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	14	14
DENVER	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	2	1	2	0	0	12	12
SALT LAKE CY	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	4
SEATTLE	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	6	6
OAKLAND	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
LOS ANGELES	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	3
TOTAL	43	26	24	25	34	17	27	8	9	7	8	9	8	29	43	42	37	52	44	52	45	32	38	44	703	703		

Table 39
NUMBER OF HANDOVERS TO MINNEAPOLIS

FROM	NUMBER OF HANDOVERS TO MINNEAPOLIS																			TOTAL						
	AT GMT CST	19	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17		18	19	20	21	22	23
CHICAGO	19	18	15	15	10	10	2	3	3	4	2	0	2	3	16	24	24	20	10	19	15	16	16	20	286	286
GREAT FALLS	5	4	1	2	1	0	1	3	1	3	1	1	0	1	0	0	3	7	7	3	3	4	5	3	59	59
DENVER	1	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	2	0	3	4	0	1	1	1	21	21
TOTAL	25	22	16	20	13	10	3	6	4	7	3	2	2	5	16	25	29	27	20	26	18	21	22	24	366	366

Table 40

NUMBER OF DEPARTURES FROM KANSAS CITY

TO	AT GMT																								TOTAL							
	19	20	21	22	23	24	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18		19	20	21	22	23	24	
BOSTON	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	5
NEW YORK	2	0	1	2	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	6	0	0	0	1	2	3	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	3	6	36
WASHINGTON	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	2	1	3	0	1	3	0	16	16	
JACKSONVILLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	5	5	
MIAMI	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	10	10	
CLEVELAND	0	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	17	17	
ATLANTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	13	13	
INDIANAPOLIS	4	4	0	1	4	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	7	2	1	8	7	1	0	6	4	6	3	1	9	11	3	1	3	50	50	
CHICAGO	14	11	4	8	6	3	0	3	2	2	1	0	2	22	8	8	7	12	9	8	11	9	11	10	10	10	11	10	171	171		
MEMPHIS	2	2	3	2	1	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	3	4	1	1	5	2	5	2	1	3	2	1	3	45	45		
HOUSTON	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	5	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	4	2	1	2	1	25	25		
MINNEAPOLIS	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	3	5	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	2	0	3	1	11	11	11		
KANSAS CITY	24	22	20	21	14	10	5	9	4	4	2	1	11	35	27	30	30	35	22	26	41	46	29	31	499	499	499	499	499	499		
FORT WORTH	8	5	4	10	4	2	2	0	2	1	1	0	3	4	7	4	2	6	9	10	8	13	7	112	112	112	112	112	112	112	112	
DENVER	5	5	1	4	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	1	1	1	4	1	2	5	2	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	
ALBUQUERQUE	1	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	1	2	1	0	4	1	1	22	22	22	22	22	22	22	
SALT LAKE CY	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	
SEATTLE	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	6
OAKLAND	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	8	8	8	
LOS ANGELES	3	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	2	2	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	22	22	
TOTAL	69	58	37	54	34	24	13	17	7	10	6	2	19	72	67	66	52	63	58	68	85	90	74	71	1116	1116	1116	1116	1116	1116	1116	

Table 41
NUMBER OF ARRIVALS AT KANSAS CITY

FROM	NUMBER OF ARRIVALS AT KANSAS CITY																	TOTAL									
	AT GMT CST	1 19	2 20	3 21	4 22	5 23	6 24	7 1	8 2	9 3	10 4	11 5	12 6	13 7	14 8	15 9	16 10		17 11	18 12	19 13	20 14	21 15	22 16	23 17	24 18	
BOSTON	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	6	
NEW YORK	4	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	3	21	
WASHINGTON	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	2	5	20	
JACKSONVILLE	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	
MIAMI	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	7	
CLEVELAND	0	5	1	1	1	1	3	0	1	1	0	2	3	4	0	1	0	0	1	3	1	3	2	0	3	39	
ATLANTA	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	9	
INDIANAPOLIS	2	1	6	5	2	1	1	0	0	0	2	2	5	3	7	7	4	1	4	1	8	2	2	5	3	4	66
CHICAGO	14	8	9	8	5	8	4	2	1	1	1	0	2	9	11	13	13	14	12	8	13	10	10	10	11	187	
MEMPHIS	2	2	4	3	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	5	6	2	6	5	5	5	5	4	7	67	
HOUSTON	1	3	3	1	1	1	1	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	0	0	2	26	
MINNEAPOLIS	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	3	0	1	3	0	1	1	16	
KANSAS CITY	31	24	22	20	21	14	10	5	9	4	4	2	1	11	35	27	30	30	35	22	26	41	46	29	4	499	
FORT WORTH	6	6	4	4	3	1	2	4	2	1	0	0	0	3	5	7	5	7	4	6	1	5	4	4	4	84	
DENVER	0	1	6	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	4	1	3	1	2	5	3	1	3	3	39	
ALBUQUERQUE	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	4	1	0	1	4	1	19		
SALT LAKE CITY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
SEATTLE	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	7	
OAKLAND	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	7	
LOS ANGELES	1	1	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	3	2	2	2	2	4	24	
TOTAL	70	58	59	48	36	30	25	14	17	9	7	7	9	39	70	68	69	72	77	60	64	75	85	80	80	1148	

Table 42
NUMBER OF HANDOVERS TO KANSAS CITY

FROM	NUMBER OF HANDOVERS TO KANSAS CITY																	TOTAL								
	AT GMT CST	1 19	2 20	3 21	4 22	5 23	6 24	7 1	8 2	9 3	10 4	11 5	12 6	13 7	14 8	15 9	16 10		17 11	18 12	19 13	20 14	21 15	22 16	23 17	24 18
INDIANAPOLIS	16	9	9	6	2	7	3	0	3	1	1	4	8	15	25	15	10	13	19	9	13	15	14	22	239	
CHICAGO	21	12	15	10	7	8	2	3	1	1	2	1	6	25	17	20	17	27	16	20	23	11	21	22	22	308
MEMPHIS	9	8	10	11	2	5	1	1	1	0	1	0	2	6	4	13	13	11	6	11	12	15	11	12	165	
FORT WORTH	8	9	5	6	2	5	6	3	1	0	0	1	5	6	17	16	8	11	7	12	10	12	19	19	180	
DENVER	6	3	5	3	1	0	3	2	1	1	1	2	0	0	5	3	8	2	7	5	2	4	6	7	77	
ALBUQUERQUE	4	2	4	0	0	2	2	2	3	3	4	2	0	0	3	2	5	7	6	7	5	13	7	5	88	
TOTAL	64	43	48	36	14	27	17	11	10	6	9	10	21	52	71	69	61	71	65	61	70	66	69	86	86	1057

Table 43

NUMBER OF DEPARTURES FROM FORT WORTH

TO	NUMBER OF DEPARTURES FROM FORT WORTH																								TOTAL	
	AT GMT CST	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23		24
BOSTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
NEW YORK	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	21
WASHINGTON	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
JACKSONVILLE	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
MIAMI	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
CLEVELAND	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
ATLANTA	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
INDIANAPOLIS	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35
CHICAGO	1	0	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
MEMPHIS	1	3	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45
HOUSTON	9	9	8	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	80
MINNEAPOLIS	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	206
KANSAS CITY	6	4	3	1	3	1	1	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
FORT WORTH	33	17	23	15	6	4	4	4	8	2	0	2	13	50	51	43	79	46	60	52	63	74	51	45	43	784
DENVER	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
ALBUQUERQUE	5	7	1	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	87
SALT LAKE CY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
SEATTLE	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
OAKLAND	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
LOS ANGELES	3	6	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45
TOTAL	67	49	40	28	19	11	7	13	7	3	6	22	91	98	90	133	79	103	103	103	126	100	92	84	1476	

Table 44
NUMBER OF ARRIVALS AT FORT WORTH

FROM	NUMBER OF ARRIVALS AT FORT WORTH																								TOTAL								
	AT CST	19	20	21	22	23	24	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17		18	19	20	21	22	23	24	
NEW YORK	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	10
WASHINGTON	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	3	1	0	19
JACKSONVILLE	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	8	
MIAMI	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
CLEVELAND	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
ATLANTA	2	1	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	29
INDIANAPOLIS	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
CHICAGO	2	3	3	1	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	43
MEMPHIS	6	4	3	3	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	71
HOUSTON	13	9	10	4	4	12	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	227
MINNEAPOLIS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
KANSAS CITY	8	10	8	6	4	4	4	8	0	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
FORT WORTH	43	33	17	23	15	6	4	4	8	2	0	2	11	49	51	43	79	46	60	52	63	74	51	45	781								
DENVER	2	2	2	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
ALBUQUERQUE	7	1	4	0	5	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	71
SALT LAKE CY	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
SEATTLE	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
OAKLAND	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
LOS ANGELES	3	5	2	0	2	2	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	38
TOTAL	95	74	57	46	34	33	19	6	13	6	6	8	16	63	68	83	120	98	100	99	106	128	108	101	1487								

Table 45

NUMBER OF HANDOVERS TO FORT WORTH

FROM	NUMBER OF HANDOVERS TO FORT WORTH																								TOTAL					
	AT CST	19	20	21	22	23	24	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17		18	19	20	21	22
MEMPHIS	10	8	8	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	0	0	0	2	12	11	9	11	7	5	15	8	9	9	134			
HOUSTON	12	10	8	8	6	10	1	1	1	1	0	1	2	2	10	15	25	26	24	28	16	29	21	26	27	309				
KANSAS CITY	11	14	9	5	7	10	3	1	1	0	3	1	2	3	10	15	12	10	7	13	15	19	18	21	210					
ALBUQUERQUE	15	11	10	11	9	6	0	2	5	3	3	5	5	2	3	10	13	10	20	19	15	24	19	18	238					
TOTAL	48	43	35	25	23	28	5	5	8	4	9	8	9	17	40	61	60	55	62	53	74	72	72	75	841					

Table 46

NUMBER OF DEPARTURES FROM GREAT FALLS

TO	NUMBER OF DEPARTURES FROM GREAT FALLS																								TOTAL			
	AT GMT MST	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22		23	24	
CHICAGO	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
MINNEAPOLIS	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	2	0	0	0	14
GREAT FALLS	2	4	5	6	3	33	4	12	15	8	13	3	3	1	2	3	4	3	6	5	7	5	8	4	7	5	164	
DENVER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2	1	7	
SALT LAKE CY	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	9	
SEATTLE	1	1	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	2	3	1	0	18	
TOTAL	4	8	8	6	4	35	5	12	15	9	13	3	3	5	2	2	3	4	10	8	9	7	12	13	12	7	214	

Table 47

NUMBER OF ARRIVALS AT GREAT FALLS

FROM		NUMBER OF ARRIVALS AT GREAT FALLS																	TOTAL							
AT	GMT	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	
MST		10	19	20	21	22	23	24	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	
	CHICAGO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3
	HOUSTON	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	MINNEAPOLIS	0	0	1	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	GREAT FALLS	5	2	4	5	6	3	33	4	12	15	8	13	1	3	1	2	3	6	7	5	8	4	7	7	162
	DENVER	2	1	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	12
	ALBUQUERQUE	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	SALT LAKE CY	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	5
	SEATTLE	2	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	13
	LOS ANGELES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
	TOTAL	10	5	6	7	10	6	34	4	12	15	10	13	3	4	1	4	5	10	6	13	9	11	4	10	214

Table 48

NUMBER OF HANDOVERS TO GREAT FALLS

FROM		NUMBER OF HANDOVERS TO GREAT FALLS																	TOTAL							
AT	GMT	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	
MST		10	19	20	21	22	23	24	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	
	MINNEAPOLIS	4	4	3	5	2	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	0	1	2	3	5	2	0	2	4	2	2	2	47
	DENVER	3	2	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	14
	SALT LAKE CY	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	6
	SEATTLE	4	1	1	1	1	0	2	2	3	1	1	0	0	1	5	11	3	1	4	4	2	2	2	6	56
	TOTAL	11	7	5	7	4	1	2	2	4	3	2	2	2	0	2	6	15	6	5	6	6	4	4	9	123

Table 49

NUMBER OF DEPARTURES FROM DENVER

TO	NUMBER OF DEPARTURES FROM DENVER																															TOTAL					
	AT GMT MST	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30		31	32	33	34	35
BOSTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
NEW YORK	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
WASHINGTON	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
MIAMI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
ATLANTA	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
CHICAGO	2	1	4	3	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	5	3	1	3	6	4	4	6	1	2	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	52	
MEMPHIS	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	
HOUSTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	
MINNEAPOLIS	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	
KANSAS CITY	3	3	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	5	2	2	2	3	3	2	0	3	2	2	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	39	
FORT WORTH	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	1	1	2	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	
GREAT FALLS	2	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	
DENVER	18	16	11	11	10	7	4	2	3	0	1	4	9	21	19	20	25	12	24	10	21	18	13	19	298												
ALBUQUERQUE	2	3	3	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	3	2	3	0	3	0	4	1	0	34												
SALT LAKE CY	2	2	1	2	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	5	2	1	1	3	1	2	3	3	2	35												
SEATTLE	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	6												
OAKLAND	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10												
LOS ANGELES	0	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24		
TOTAL	35	34	23	23	17	9	7	2	5	5	2	6	12	41	33	44	39	33	46	27	31	31	27	33	565												

Table 50
NUMBER OF ARRIVALS AT DENVER

FROM	NUMBER OF ARRIVALS AT DENVER																								TOTAL	
	AT GMT MST	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23		24
BOSTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
NEW YORK	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6
WASHINGTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
CLEVELAND	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
ATLANTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
INDIANAPOLIS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
CHICAGO	2	5	4	0	0	5	1	3	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	4	0	6	3	0	3	1	4	49
MEMPHIS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
HOUSTON	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
MINNEAPOLIS	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
KANSAS CITY	3	6	4	1	1	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	8
FORT WORTH	3	3	4	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	2	3	0	3	1	2	2	42
GREAT FALLS	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28
DENVER	19	18	16	11	11	10	7	4	2	3	0	1	2	9	21	19	20	25	12	24	10	21	18	13	7	296
ALBUQUERQUE	2	1	1	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	3	2	2	1	0	2	0	3	1	22
SALT LAKE CY	1	0	2	2	1	1	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	1	3	1	1	2	1	1	25
SEATTLE	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
OAKLAND	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
LOS ANGELES	2	1	0	2	0	3	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	3	1	2	1	3	23
TOTAL	32	40	35	19	19	22	17	7	9	5	5	3	2	12	24	26	36	39	39	29	39	19	33	32	36	540

Table 51
NUMBER OF HANDOVERS TO DENVER

FROM	NUMBER OF HANDOVERS TO DENVER																								TOTAL
	AT GMT MST	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	
CHICAGO	23	14	8	12	3	3	7	2	5	6	6	4	0	2	2	18	19	16	14	7	12	10	12	16	221
MINNEAPOLIS	3	0	2	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	1	1	0	1	3	0	0	1	21
KANSAS CITY	4	12	3	5	1	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	1	0	3	5	5	2	4	4	2	4	4	6	74
GREAT FALLS	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
ALBUQUERQUE	7	6	4	4	0	2	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	5	6	3	3	3	4	7	6	72
SALT LAKE CY	5	7	4	4	3	7	6	6	8	4	2	0	0	1	7	11	26	15	8	9	10	16	11	175	
LOS ANGELES	11	4	5	3	2	4	1	8	12	7	2	1	0	0	0	1	6	14	20	5	9	13	8	9	145
TOTAL	53	44	31	29	15	17	19	20	26	18	11	5	1	5	11	33	47	66	57	28	38	42	50	49	715

Table 53

NUMBER OF ARRIVALS AT ALBUQUERQUE

FROM	AT GMT	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	TOTAL
	MST	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	
NEW YORK		0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
WASHINGTON		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
MIAMI		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
ATLANTA		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
INDIANAPOLIS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
CHICAGO		2	2	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	3	2	4	0	24
MEMPHIS		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
HOUSTON		5	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	5	4	2	4	2	5	7	5	47
KANSAS CITY		2	1	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	3	22
FORT WORTH		7	9	4	4	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	3	2	6	4	3	6	4	5	4	16	87
DENVER		0	2	3	3	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	3	2	2	2	2	1	2	3	1	34
ALBUQUERQUE		16	7	10	10	5	7	4	4	2	2	3	0	0	0	5	27	38	25	25	18	24	32	31	28	323
SALT LAKE CY		0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	7
OAKLAND		0	2	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	3	4	1	18
LOS ANGELES		7	9	8	3	5	3	10	5	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	5	5	5	11	10	5	6	110
TOTAL		40	35	33	25	17	16	17	11	3	6	4	0	0	9	9	38	69	43	42	37	50	65	58	61	688

Table 54

NUMBER OF HANDOVERS TO ALBUQUERQUE

FROM	AT GMT	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	TOTAL
	MST	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	
KANSAS CITY		6	10	4	5	1	0	6	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	9	7	2	5	9	6	6	4	9	93
FORT WORTH		16	12	15	8	4	5	1	1	2	0	1	0	3	6	14	17	23	14	18	14	21	19	34	28	276
DENVER		8	2	3	6	2	1	2	0	1	1	0	1	0	5	3	3	5	3	7	2	7	6	3	6	78
LOS ANGELES		9	23	7	10	7	11	9	6	4	5	5	2	0	0	3	9	15	17	15	21	26	22	17	15	258
TOTAL		39	47	29	29	14	17	18	7	8	6	8	3	5	11	20	38	50	36	45	46	60	53	58	58	705

Table 55

NUMBER OF DEPARTURES FROM SALT LAKE CY

		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	TOTAL	
AT	GMT	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16		
	PST																										
TO																											
	ATLANTA	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	CHICAGO	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
	HOUSTON	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	MINNEAPOLIS	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	KANSAS CITY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	FORT WORTH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	GREAT FALLS	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	DENVER	1	2	1	1	1	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	2	1	1	2	1	1	
	ALBUQUERQUE	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	1	1	0	0	7	
	SALT LAKE CY	7	3	6	3	6	3	3	3	1	1	5	2	0	0	2	5	8	6	9	6	6	11	8	5	4	
	SEATTLE	0	0	2	2	3	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	3	0	2	3	1	1	24	
	OAKLAND	0	3	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	1	2	2	1	1	1	2	1	21	
	LOS ANGELES	1	3	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	1	0	1	1	3	20	
	TOTAL	10	12	14	7	13	6	3	8	2	3	10	2	1	3	12	10	10	10	19	14	15	17	17	11	10	229

Table 56

FROM		NUMBER OF ARRIVALS AT SALT LAKE CY																								TOTAL									
		AT GMT PST	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15		16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
NEW YORK	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
INDIANAPOLIS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
CHICAGO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	
HOUSTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	
MINNEAPOLIS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	
KANSAS CITY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
FORT WORTH	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
GREAT FALLS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	
DENVER	4	1	1	1	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	
ALBUQUERQUE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35	
SALT LAKE CY	4	7	3	6	3	6	3	3	1	1	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	
SEATTLE	1	1	1	1	2	3	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	3	1	1	3	1	2	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
OAKLAND	4	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	
LOS ANGELES	1	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	
TOTAL	15	10	10	12	13	10	7	4	8	4	2	7	0	1	7	16	15	12	18	14	8	16	18	14	8	16	18	14	14	14	14	14	241		

Table 57

FROM		NUMBER OF HANDOVERS TO SALT LAKE CY																								TOTAL								
		AT GMT PST	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15		16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
GREAT FALLS	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
DENVER	11	13	11	11	11	5	1	3	2	1	2	4	0	1	4	6	13	10	10	4	3	10	11	9	3	10	11	9	9	9	9	9	9	156
SEATTLE	4	0	2	7	3	1	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	2	4	4	8	3	2	0	2	3	4	0	2	3	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	53
OAKLAND	5	3	4	0	5	5	5	5	3	0	1	0	1	0	1	10	17	14	6	6	7	13	7	8	6	6	7	8	8	8	8	8	126	
LOS ANGELES	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	16	
TOTAL	20	19	19	21	19	11	6	8	9	2	3	5	1	3	10	20	41	30	18	11	13	26	26	19	13	26	26	19	19	19	19	19	360	

Table 58

NUMBER OF DEPARTURES FROM SEATTLE

		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	TOTAL			
AT GMT		17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	TOTAL		
PST		17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	TOTAL		
TO	BOSTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	
	NEW YORK	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	7	
	WASHINGTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	
	CHICAGO	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	1	1	1	1	3	1	2	2	3	26	2	
	HOUSTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	
	MINNEAPOLIS	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	7	
	KANSAS CITY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	6	
	FORT WORTH	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	7	
	GREAT FALLS	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	1	2	1	13	1	
	DENVER	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	7	1	
	SALT LAKE CY	0	1	3	3	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	3	3	3	4	0	0	0	0	1	4	0	1	30	1
	SEATTLE	29	26	16	15	16	17	16	17	25	16	14	15	15	10	15	28	25	30	35	27	37	16	15	21	26	507	5	
	OAKLAND	3	5	2	5	1	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	3	6	2	3	2	6	5	3	5	60	2	
	LOS ANGELES	0	3	2	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	0	3	1	1	2	0	21	1	
	TOTAL	33	37	25	26	21	20	17	24	30	17	15	15	12	12	21	35	50	50	40	32	49	28	29	31	39	697	1	

Table 59

NUMBER OF ARRIVALS AT SEATTLE

FROM	AT GMT PST	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	TOTAL	
BOSTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
NEW YORK	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
WASHINGTON	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
CHICAGO	0	1	3	1	1	2	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	1	1	19	
HOUSTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
MINNEAPOLIS	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	
KANSAS CITY	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	6	
FORT WORTH	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	
GREAT FALLS	1	0	1	0	1	4	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	1	2	0	0	18	
DENVER	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	8	
SALT LAKE CY	1	2	1	1	1	2	0	2	2	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	1	3	1	24	
SEATTLE	26	29	26	16	15	16	17	16	17	25	16	14	9	9	15	28	25	30	35	27	37	37	27	37	16	15	21	500	
OAKLAND	4	1	1	6	3	1	3	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	4	3	2	5	2	5	2	3	5	2	2	49	
LOS ANGELES	1	3	1	1	2	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	1	1	0	1	1	2	21		
TOTAL	35	38	34	29	27	26	26	20	20	27	19	17	11	12	15	31	29	36	45	41	43	23	27	29	27	29	29	659	

Table 60

NUMBER OF HANDOVERS TO SEATTLE

FROM	AT GMT PST	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	TOTAL
GREAT FALLS	1	3	6	2	4	2	1	1	1	1	2	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	3	5	2	1	1	6	1	46
SALT LAKE CY	2	2	3	4	2	4	4	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	2	3	2	1	4	43
OAKLAND	5	3	5	5	5	2	2	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	3	4	7	6	4	7	6	3	3	3	6	3	69
TOTAL	8	8	14	11	11	8	7	3	1	3	1	2	3	0	2	4	5	10	16	7	7	7	7	6	13	8	158	

Table 62

NUMBER OF ARRIVALS AT OAKLAND

FROM	NUMBER OF ARRIVALS AT OAKLAND																								TOTAL	
	AT GMT PST	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23		24
BOSTON	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	5
NEW YORK	2	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	1	12
WASHINGTON	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
CLEVELAND	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	7
ATLANTA	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
INDIANAPOLIS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
CHICAGO	0	2	3	1	2	3	1	0	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	3	5	29
HOUSTON	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	4
MINNEAPOLIS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
KANSAS CITY	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	8
FORT WORTH	0	1	1	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	11
DENVER	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	10
ALBUQUERQUE	1	1	2	2	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	1	1	1	1	20
SALT LAKE CY	1	1	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	21
SEATTLE	4	6	5	3	3	2	3	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	1	2	4	5	2	2	2	7	3	3	60
OAKLAND	20	32	24	23	17	19	11	6	3	0	3	0	1	5	18	39	38	36	34	30	28	25	14	25	451	
LOS ANGELES	19	18	29	17	16	12	17	13	4	4	2	0	1	1	2	6	12	9	13	17	15	18	13	14	272	
TOTAL	48	65	73	55	42	45	35	20	8	6	8	1	5	10	22	49	56	58	61	53	55	37	52	920		

Table 63

NUMBER OF HANDOVERS TO OAKLAND

FROM	NUMBER OF HANDOVERS TO OAKLAND																								TOTAL	
	AT GMT PST	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23		24
SALT LAKE CY	4	6	12	9	6	6	0	0	2	0	0	2	5	0	2	2	2	1	8	9	7	4	3	5	9	102
SEATTLE	5	6	6	4	3	5	0	0	1	3	0	0	1	2	6	5	4	3	4	6	5	6	6	6	81	
LOS ANGELES	21	28	31	20	13	20	19	7	4	4	2	0	1	2	3	11	19	14	14	18	20	21	20	16	328	
TOTAL	30	40	49	33	22	31	19	7	7	7	2	2	6	3	7	19	25	26	26	29	30	29	31	31	511	

Table 64
NUMBER OF DEPARTURES FROM LOS ANGELES

TO	NUMBER OF DEPARTURES FROM LOS ANGELES																								TOTAL	
	AT GMT PST	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23		24
BOSTON	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	1	0	6
NEW YORK	1	2	0	0	0	0	3	6	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	2	2	4	3	1	2	38
WASHINGTON	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	1	2	0	1	14
MIAMI	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	3
CLEVELAND	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	2	0	2	0	17
ATLANTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	5
INDIANAPOLIS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	5
CHICAGO	3	2	1	0	0	0	2	5	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	6	3	2	7	3	3	8	58
MEMPHIS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	1	6
HOUSTON	2	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	2	2	2	2	1	19
MINNEAPOLIS	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3
KANSAS CITY	2	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	4	1	0	5	2	1	1	0	24
FORT WORTH	2	2	1	2	0	1	2	0	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	1	5	2	3	3	3	38
GREAT FALLS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
DEVER	0	2	1	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	4	0	1	3	2	1	2	23
ALBUQUERQUE	4	5	3	9	5	4	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	9	8	5	12	7	10	3	7	11	110
SALT LAKE CY	1	1	2	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	2	0	1	0	2	15
SEATTLE	0	1	1	2	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	1	2	3	0	3	21
OAKLAND	20	20	18	13	12	19	12	4	3	3	0	0	2	1	3	15	12	15	12	15	17	17	15	12	19	272
LOS ANGELES	64	52	49	52	27	41	19	13	4	2	2	3	1	9	37	62	55	78	74	57	80	65	64	62	972	
TOTAL	100	96	78	85	51	66	44	38	17	17	6	3	3	12	44	93	100	128	112	102	135	108	97	115	1650	

Table 65
NUMBER OF ARRIVALS AT LOS ANGELES

FROM	NUMBER OF ARRIVALS AT LOS ANGELES																TOTAL										
	AT GMT PST	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15		16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	
BOSTON	0	0	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	9
NEW YORK	0	1	3	1	3	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	3	0	1	1	1	22
WASHINGTON	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	1	1	0	1	12
JACKSONVILLE	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
MIAMI	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3
CLEVELAND	0	3	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	3	1	0	0	0	18
ATLANTA	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
INDIANAPOLIS	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
CHICAGO	2	1	6	3	3	4	0	2	1	1	2	1	1	3	0	0	0	0	1	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	55
MEMPHIS	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	3
HOUSTON	1	3	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	2	1	1	21
MILWAUKEE	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	6
KANSAS CITY	1	1	1	3	2	1	0	0	1	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	22
FORT WORTH	3	6	2	2	3	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	3	4	4	2	5	1	1	3	3	45
DENVER	1	0	3	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	1	3	4	2	0	0	0	24
ALBUQUERQUE	9	8	7	9	12	5	3	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	5	8	8	4	2	6	4	7	102	
SALT LAKE CITY	1	4	2	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	1	0	20
SEATTLE	3	0	0	3	2	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	2	2	0	1	21
OAKLAND	13	12	21	10	8	12	11	7	6	1	1	1	1	2	7	17	12	19	22	13	16	8	13	19	19	252	
LOS ANGELES	62	64	52	49	52	27	41	19	13	4	2	2	1	1	9	37	62	55	78	74	57	80	65	64	64	970	
TOTAL	97	105	107	88	87	57	63	30	24	9	9	8	7	10	17	61	87	104	126	111	97	109	96	103	1612		

Table 66
NUMBER OF HANDOVERS TO LOS ANGELES

FROM	NUMBER OF HANDOVERS TO LOS ANGELES																TOTAL									
	AT GMT PST	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15		16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
DENVER	5	14	11	6	3	6	3	0	3	2	6	2	2	1	3	3	6	16	9	10	8	8	3	4	4	134
ALBUQUERQUE	18	28	18	18	17	3	4	4	2	2	0	1	4	3	1	12	13	19	12	19	7	16	11	18	250	
SALT LAKE CITY	3	2	2	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	1	1	0	1	20	
OAKLAND	17	18	17	15	11	9	13	7	5	1	3	1	2	1	15	21	20	27	13	21	17	14	15	24	307	
TOTAL	43	62	48	48	31	20	20	11	10	5	9	7	8	5	19	37	39	63	34	52	33	39	29	47	711	

Table 67

NUMBER OF AIRCRAFT IN FLIGHT IN EACH CENTER ON THE HOUR

CENTER	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
BOSTON	55	37	50	29	19	12	9	6	5	2	19	58	59	81	80	88	73	98	83	103	79	83	78	62
NEW YORK	89	84	60	40	26	25	14	7	10	15	36	111	118	151	157	153	126	114	121	143	160	139	110	115
WASHINGTON	129	79	80	37	58	17	12	17	3	13	33	83	145	146	170	163	151	154	168	169	162	147	145	130
JACKSONVILLE	81	47	57	58	39	29	12	11	8	11	7	14	33	90	107	99	108	115	122	135	116	131	126	110
MIAMI	36	31	36	30	17	15	6	8	7	6	10	22	48	59	48	47	36	57	60	44	50	64	36	38
CLEVELAND	140	134	84	66	71	52	25	33	21	18	39	144	181	214	205	195	172	204	215	209	221	230	212	150
ATLANTA	121	112	60	57	47	21	17	11	13	14	9	36	82	124	144	145	155	166	152	182	166	188	163	154
INDIANAPOLIS	109	72	59	40	42	26	13	11	9	12	16	29	89	115	133	122	121	107	111	143	161	143	139	147
CHICAGO	156	130	113	103	89	37	31	32	30	33	31	27	99	157	196	202	176	159	177	216	170	197	188	208
MEMPHIS	62	50	26	29	18	10	3	5	3	3	6	23	44	67	86	88	92	93	85	85	74	85	85	66
HOUSTON	90	68	63	55	36	25	8	8	6	5	4	5	25	81	123	119	155	152	129	126	125	121	133	108
MINNEAPOLIS	41	31	28	34	12	26	7	11	8	11	11	17	34	48	44	46	69	57	60	59	39	45	55	55
KANSAS CITY	102	67	63	65	42	39	26	21	14	16	14	11	31	91	103	103	91	103	94	100	124	126	122	110
FORT WORTH	96	72	58	42	31	23	7	14	8	4	9	24	77	90	102	161	118	128	129	133	164	150	135	123
GREAT FALLS	16	18	14	16	9	37	8	14	20	13	16	6	6	3	5	12	28	22	17	14	19	21	16	17
DENVER	94	81	60	51	41	29	26	32	40	35	18	12	15	38	39	71	83	106	114	69	78	81	84	87
ALBUQUERQUE	74	68	57	44	32	29	28	18	15	12	12	9	11	15	57	93	87	90	86	86	122	112	109	94
SALT LAKE CY	25	29	25	23	31	19	11	15	6	4	13	7	1	6	18	21	44	46	30	21	26	37	34	24
SEATTLE	39	39	36	32	30	26	22	27	32	20	17	16	14	20	35	43	45	49	46	53	33	35	40	46
OAKLAND	64	63	53	43	40	42	20	13	5	11	1	4	9	24	58	74	79	65	66	57	58	39	60	57
LOS ANGELES	126	121	97	98	71	65	37	35	16	13	10	9	10	15	55	103	113	153	124	122	137	119	103	121
TOTAL	1745	1453	1179	984	803	604	342	349	281	271	331	667	1131	1640	1965	2146	2223	2382	1892	2269	2284	2293	2173	2022

Table 68

MAXIMUM NUMBER OF AIRCRAFT IN FLIGHT AT ANY TIME DURING EACH HOUR

CENTER	GHT	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	MAXIMUM
BOSTON	67	42	56	52	34	22	13	8	8	6	19	64	63	82	97	94	86	100	105	110	107	90	87	82	110	
NEW YORK	121	102	85	62	45	38	27	17	13	15	37	119	138	151	166	166	160	128	137	155	173	168	134	145	173	
WASHINGTON	141	131	87	80	58	59	24	18	18	13	34	84	145	175	174	181	165	170	169	186	177	162	154	142	186	
JACKSONVILLE	108	86	76	60	53	41	31	14	11	12	11	16	38	90	122	119	112	116	137	144	135	132	141	131	144	
MIAMI	49	32	44	47	28	18	19	10	9	6	10	25	48	65	63	51	49	59	68	75	54	64	63	45	75	
CLEVELAND	171	139	145	83	85	72	53	34	34	25	39	146	189	219	217	217	195	204	217	233	233	233	235	216	238	
ATLANTA	154	121	110	63	66	56	22	20	17	17	15	38	82	133	145	157	164	174	177	184	182	199	191	166	199	
INDIANAPOLIS	152	112	79	63	60	43	25	14	13	18	16	29	89	117	140	134	136	134	130	143	164	171	151	147	171	
CHICAGO	216	158	135	113	119	88	40	34	33	33	39	36	101	157	196	221	203	180	188	217	224	201	203	220	224	
MEMPHIS	72	63	51	37	27	23	9	7	8	5	8	23	44	70	89	93	101	96	93	87	90	94	95	91	101	
HOUSTON	113	98	70	67	58	37	26	9	9	6	6	6	29	81	124	134	160	165	155	130	135	129	145	137	165	
MINNEAPOLIS	58	43	32	36	35	30	27	14	12	12	15	21	35	52	53	49	71	72	60	69	59	51	58	58	72	
KANSAS CITY	121	105	75	71	65	43	41	29	22	18	15	12	31	96	105	111	110	106	108	108	131	134	135	145	145	
FORT WORTH	124	97	83	59	43	42	19	15	15	12	9	26	78	102	112	162	161	128	136	147	165	168	151	133	168	
GREAT FALLS	21	19	19	17	16	39	35	14	22	22	16	16	6	6	5	13	31	26	24	18	20	25	22	20	39	
DENVER	98	101	83	60	50	41	30	32	41	47	34	21	16	38	48	72	83	114	122	111	78	89	86	80	122	
ALBUQUERQUE	96	80	72	60	47	34	30	22	19	15	15	14	13	17	57	93	112	94	102	88	122	130	118	114	130	
SALT LAKE CY	33	30	31	25	32	31	19	17	19	4	13	14	4	6	18	27	46	51	46	34	28	40	39	37	51	
SEATTLE	46	43	42	39	34	29	31	30	32	35	20	22	15	22	35	51	54	50	52	54	54	36	41	47	54	
OAKLAND	69	65	75	57	47	46	44	24	14	12	11	6	10	24	58	60	83	85	68	72	65	59	61	69	85	
LOS ANGELES	144	134	122	112	98	84	67	46	37	18	16	12	10	17	55	103	132	160	153	143	148	152	126	128	160	
MAXIMUM	216	150	145	113	119	88	67	46	41	47	39	146	189	219	217	221	203	204	217	233	233	238	235	220	238	

Table 69b. First Code Plan: Issue from Top, Return to Bottom of 800-Code List

CENTER	GMT	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	TOTAL	
DENVER																											
HANDOVERS TO		53	44	31	29	15	17	19	20	26	18	11	5	1	5	11	33	47	66	57	28	38	42	50	49	49	715
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES		9	3	2	3	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	2	19	15	3	5	3	5	4	79	
NO CODE AVAILABLE		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
HOUSTON																											
HANDOVERS TO		32	37	19	13	19	11	4	1	5	6	1	3	17	20	25	27	42	35	42	32	34	29	38	41	533	
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES		4	5	1	0	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	8	3	10	7	14	20	18	14	9	8	10	6	142	
NO CODE AVAILABLE		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
INDIANAPOLIS																											
HANDOVERS TO		87	54	39	27	46	25	12	13	11	17	15	23	57	62	87	75	69	73	86	96	103	86	93	92	1348	
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES		13	8	5	4	7	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	23	12	8	10	9	15	7	12	17	11	19	14	196	
NO CODE AVAILABLE		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
JACKSONVILLE																											
HANDOVERS TO		54	47	39	50	33	29	11	4	3	3	5	4	17	48	64	64	63	74	87	97	71	85	81	73	1106	
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES		6	4	2	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	32	36	11	7	2	5	11	4	7	10	7	159	
NO CODE AVAILABLE		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
NEW YORK																											
HANDOVERS TO		112	119	79	44	26	44	21	12	20	16	16	86	101	116	104	117	113	98	109	129	159	145	126	135	2047	
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES		18	14	7	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	52	60	45	39	25	14	13	22	19	29	16	26	405	
NO CODE AVAILABLE		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
LOS ANGELES																											
HANDOVERS TO		43	62	48	40	31	20	20	11	10	5	9	7	8	5	19	37	39	63	34	52	33	39	29	47	711	
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES		5	6	8	9	6	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	11	24	19	15	3	8	1	3	2	3	132	
NO CODE AVAILABLE		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
MIAMI																											
HANDOVERS TO		31	23	29	22	9	16	14	5	4	3	1	3	7	7	12	28	27	31	30	28	21	28	20	33	432	
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES		0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	4	1	1	1	0	2	2	0	1	0	2	23	
NO CODE AVAILABLE		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Table 70a. Second Code Plan: Issue from Top, Return to Top of 800-Code List

CENTER	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	TOTAL
ALBUQUERQUE																									
HANDOVERS TO	39	47	29	29	14	17	18	7	8	6	8	3	5	11	20	38	50	36	45	46	60	53	58	58	705
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES	17	19	10	5	3	5	3	2	2	3	1	0	4	0	9	32	40	24	25	29	30	33	32	35	363
NO CODE AVAILABLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ATLANTA																									
HANDOVERS TO	81	54	23	37	39	24	16	9	11	8	10	8	34	83	89	68	70	74	73	94	90	90	86	100	1271
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES	55	32	7	14	10	5	2	3	1	1	1	4	32	66	78	60	60	63	65	87	80	85	73	73	957
NO CODE AVAILABLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
GREAT FALLS																									
HANDOVERS TO	11	7	5	7	4	1	2	2	4	3	2	2	2	0	2	8	15	8	5	8	6	6	4	9	123
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	1	1	3	0	1	2	1	16
NO CODE AVAILABLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BOSTON																									
HANDOVERS TO	32	38	33	14	11	13	7	2	4	2	5	20	26	38	31	33	27	32	35	44	39	39	27	46	598
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES	10	6	8	5	3	2	1	0	0	1	1	7	16	20	12	17	11	17	17	21	18	11	8	13	225
NO CODE AVAILABLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CLEVELAND																									
HANDOVERS TO	150	108	79	58	64	44	20	27	14	16	16	45	81	124	109	97	105	116	104	129	165	158	157	133	2119
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES	88	62	48	16	29	13	4	8	2	1	2	31	78	121	103	88	81	98	94	124	157	150	146	112	1656
NO CODE AVAILABLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FORT WORTH																									
HANDOVERS TO	48	43	35	25	23	28	5	5	8	4	9	8	9	17	40	61	60	55	62	53	74	72	72	75	891
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES	17	14	13	8	7	7	0	1	1	0	0	3	9	15	28	55	47	32	38	36	62	64	57	51	565
NO CODE AVAILABLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WASHINGTON																									
HANDOVERS TO	89	57	58	26	48	19	15	12	5	8	9	29	64	79	75	89	78	75	89	88	101	89	103	81	1386
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES	52	21	22	10	7	3	2	2	0	1	1	14	57	75	65	77	63	62	69	69	77	61	71	44	925
NO CODE AVAILABLE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 70c. Second Code Plan: Issue from Top, Return to Top of 800-Code List

CENTER	GMT	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	TOTAL	
KANSAS CITY																											
HANDOVERS TO		64	43	48	36	14	27	17	11	10	6	9	10	21	52	71	69	61	71	65	61	70	66	69	86	86	1057
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES		32	15	16	12	5	4	5	4	1	2	4	1	13	26	37	46	32	25	32	30	40	30	37	53	502	
NO CODE AVAILABLE		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
MEMPHIS																											
HANDOVERS TO		43	46	19	30	15	12	2	6	4	2	6	8	14	36	53	59	60	60	49	56	47	62	47	42	42	778
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES		12	7	1	4	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	22	38	31	33	26	24	21	19	28	17	15	311	
NO CODE AVAILABLE		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
MINNEAPOLIS																											
HANDOVERS TO		25	22	16	20	13	10	3	6	4	7	3	2	2	5	16	25	29	27	20	26	18	21	22	24	24	366
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES		5	6	2	4	0	1	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	3	6	4	5	10	2	5	5	4	4	4	76	
NO CODE AVAILABLE		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CHICAGO																											
HANDOVERS TO		105	95	63	56	44	33	18	20	24	26	30	25	50	90	101	95	94	95	119	118	110	119	113	128	128	1771
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES		88	68	33	24	21	14	1	3	6	5	3	8	34	66	89	92	79	73	90	101	101	81	97	117	1288	
NO CODE AVAILABLE		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
SEATTLE																											
HANDOVERS TO		8	8	14	11	11	8	7	3	1	3	1	2	3	0	2	4	5	10	16	7	7	6	13	8	158	
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES		1	1	0	2	3	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	4	3	5	9	3	4	2	4	3	51	
NO CODE AVAILABLE		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
OAKLAND																											
HANDOVERS TO		30	40	49	33	22	31	19	7	7	7	2	2	6	3	7	19	25	26	26	29	30	29	31	31	511	
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES		11	13	12	8	2	12	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	3	7	16	14	17	9	12	11	10	8	12	182	
NO CODE AVAILABLE		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
SALT LAKE CY																											
HANDOVERS TO		20	19	19	21	19	11	6	8	9	2	3	5	1	3	10	20	41	30	18	11	13	26	26	19	360	
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES		3	4	4	3	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	2	6	9	13	6	3	5	7	5	0	77	
NO CODE AVAILABLE		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Table 71a. Third Code Plan: Random Assignment--800 Codes

CENTER	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	TOTAL	
ALBUQUERQUE																										
HANDOVERS TO	39	47	29	29	14	17	18	7	8	6	8	3	5	11	20	38	50	36	45	46	60	53	58	58	58	705
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES	3	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	3	8	3	11	9	2	8	8	60
EXPECTED CODE CHANGES	3	4	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	6	3	5	4	7	8	7	7	7	70
ATLANTA																										
HANDOVERS TO	81	54	23	37	39	24	16	9	11	8	10	8	34	83	89	68	70	74	73	94	90	90	86	100	1271	
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES	10	5	2	1	2	0	0	0	1	1	2	11	10	13	11	19	16	22	16	25	13	24	24	204		
EXPECTED CODE CHANGES	13	7	2	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	11	14	11	13	14	14	19	18	20	18	19	212		
GREAT FALLS																										
HANDOVERS TO	11	7	5	7	4	1	2	2	4	3	2	2	2	0	2	8	15	8	5	8	6	6	4	4	9	123
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	
EXPECTED CODE CHANGES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
BOSTON																										
HANDOVERS TO	32	38	33	14	11	13	7	2	4	2	5	20	26	38	31	33	27	32	35	44	39	39	27	46	598	
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES	3	2	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	4	1	3	3	2	6	3	7	49	
EXPECTED CODE CHANGES	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	5	4	3	2	4	51	
CLEVELAND																										
HANDOVERS TO	150	108	79	58	64	44	20	27	14	16	16	45	81	124	109	97	105	116	104	129	165	158	157	133	2119	
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES	24	13	13	7	5	9	0	1	1	0	1	5	14	29	20	19	19	24	28	33	38	34	50	25	412	
EXPECTED CODE CHANGES	28	16	11	5	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	15	30	27	24	23	26	25	34	45	43	43	32	452	
FORT WORTH																										
HANDOVERS TO	48	43	35	25	23	28	5	5	8	4	9	8	9	17	40	61	60	55	62	53	74	72	72	75	891	
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES	7	2	4	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	8	11	10	11	7	13	10	15	17	14	133	
EXPECTED CODE CHANGES	5	3	3	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	10	10	7	9	9	13	14	12	11	123		
WASHINGTON																										
HANDOVERS TO	89	57	58	26	48	19	15	12	5	8	9	29	64	79	75	89	78	75	89	88	101	89	103	81	1386	
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES	11	13	8	3	4	1	1	0	0	1	1	6	10	16	21	12	10	13	21	16	12	14	14	208		
EXPECTED CODE CHANGES	14	7	5	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	15	14	18	14	14	17	19	20	16	18	13	227		

Table 7lb. Third Code Plan: Random Assignment--800 Codes

CENTER	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	TOTAL	
DENVER																										
HANDOVERS TO	53	44	31	29	15	17	19	20	26	18	11	5	1	5	11	33	47	66	57	28	38	42	50	49	49	715
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES	7	3	2	2	0	2	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	3	12	6	4	0	3	6	2	6	2	57
EXPECTED CODE CHANGES	6	4	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	7	8	2	3	4	4	4	4	4	63
HOUSTON																										
HANDOVERS TO	32	37	19	13	19	11	4	1	5	6	1	3	17	20	25	27	42	35	42	32	34	29	38	41	41	533
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES	9	6	2	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	1	3	7	8	6	5	4	5	4	5	9	9	77
EXPECTED CODE CHANGES	3	4	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	4	7	6	7	4	5	4	6	6	6	68
INDIANAPOLIS																										
HANDOVERS TO	87	54	39	27	46	25	12	13	11	17	15	23	57	62	87	75	69	73	86	96	103	86	93	92	92	1348
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES	14	9	5	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	6	15	9	11	12	10	12	25	15	15	17	184	
EXPECTED CODE CHANGES	13	6	3	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	7	13	11	10	11	12	14	19	16	16	15	183	
JACKSONVILLE																										
HANDOVERS TO	54	47	39	50	33	29	11	4	3	3	5	4	17	48	64	64	63	74	87	97	71	85	81	73	1106	
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES	5	2	4	3	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	17	6	13	22	14	10	11	12	8	142	
EXPECTED CODE CHANGES	6	4	2	3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	8	8	7	9	13	15	10	12	13	11	137	
NEW YORK																										
HANDOVERS TO	112	119	79	44	26	44	21	12	20	16	16	86	101	116	104	117	113	98	109	129	159	145	126	135	2047	
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES	7	7	7	4	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	7	10	11	23	19	19	10	13	22	36	23	15	17	255	
EXPECTED CODE CHANGES	14	13	7	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	10	14	18	20	21	20	13	15	21	29	28	19	20	296	
LOS ANGELES																										
HANDOVERS TO	43	62	48	40	31	20	20	11	10	5	9	7	8	5	19	37	39	63	34	52	33	39	29	47	711	
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES	6	8	11	6	4	2	7	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	7	9	5	9	3	8	5	4	95	
EXPECTED CODE CHANGES	7	9	6	5	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	5	10	5	8	5	6	5	6	6	93	
MIAMI																										
HANDOVERS TO	31	23	29	22	9	16	14	5	4	3	1	3	7	7	12	28	27	31	30	28	21	28	20	33	432	
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES	0	1	2	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	3	2	1	2	1	3	0	2	23	
EXPECTED CODE CHANGES	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	1	21	

Table 71c. Third Code Plan: Random Assignment--800 Codes

CENTER	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	TOTAL	
KANSAS CITY MO																										
HANDOVERS TO	64	43	48	36	14	27	17	11	10	6	9	10	21	52	71	69	61	71	65	61	70	66	69	86	1057	
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES	8	4	5	2	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	5	7	7	5	9	10	10	13	10	20	121	
EXPECTED CODE CHANGES	8	4	3	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	7	8	7	8	7	7	10	10	10	13	120	
MEMPHIS																										
HANDOVERS TO	43	46	19	30	15	12	2	6	4	2	6	8	14	36	53	59	60	60	49	56	47	62	47	42	778	
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES	4	1	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	6	5	5	8	6	1	4	8	3	4	63	
EXPECTED CODE CHANGES	3	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	6	6	6	5	5	4	6	4	4	67	
MINNEAPOLIS																										
HANDOVERS TO	25	22	16	20	13	10	3	6	4	7	3	2	2	5	16	25	29	27	20	26	19	21	22	24	366	
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES	2	2	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	1	0	2	2	0	0	3	18	
EXPECTED CODE CHANGES	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	20	
CHICAGO																										
HANDOVERS TO	105	95	63	56	44	33	18	20	24	26	30	25	50	90	101	95	94	95	119	118	110	119	113	128	1771	
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES	15	20	5	12	4	2	2	0	1	0	1	0	4	14	18	21	18	13	21	28	16	36	30	38	319	
EXPECTED CODE CHANGES	25	16	9	6	5	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	14	22	24	21	19	25	28	28	27	27	32	348	
SEATTLE																										
HANDOVERS TO	6	8	14	11	11	8	7	3	1	3	1	2	3	0	2	4	5	10	16	7	7	6	13	8	158	
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	
EXPECTED CODE CHANGES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	
OAKLAND																										
HANDOVERS TO	30	40	49	33	22	31	19	7	7	7	2	2	6	3	7	19	25	26	26	29	30	29	31	31	511	
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES	2	4	2	4	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	4	2	2	1	2	0	32	
EXPECTED CODE CHANGES	2	2	3	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	1	2	2	2	1	1	2	33	
SALT LAKE CITY																										
HANDOVERS TO	20	19	19	21	19	11	6	8	9	2	3	5	1	3	10	20	41	30	18	11	13	26	26	19	360	
ACTUAL CODE CHANGES	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	1	1	2	0	10	
EXPECTED CODE CHANGES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	12	



